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## 1. Executive Summary

Microfinance offers low-income people access to basic financial services such as loans, savings, remittances, and micro-insurance. As with everyone, people living in poverty need a diverse range of financial services to run their businesses, build assets, ensure smooth consumption, and manage risks. Worldwide, microfinance providers (MFPs) have stepped in to provide financial access to low-income people that had previously been denied by the formal banking system. Yet, a concern persists that the interest rates charged on microcredit are considerably higher than commercial bank interest rates. Microfinance stakeholders have responded to this criticism by providing clear evidence that the cost of delivery of microfinance services is much higher than that of commercial banking services. The high-cost delivery models coupled with the fact that these loans are small ticket items, leads to interest rates being well above commercial banks' rates to ensure the sustainable provision of financial services to the un-served and under-served. It is thus understandable that rates will be high, but a complementary concern is whether clients can afford these rates.

The purpose of this study is to provide a financial snapshot of a broad range of micro and small businesses that have gained access to finance through MFPs, and to use enterprise-level financial data to shed light on whether or not clients have the ability to generate profits that can sustain the interest rates charged by the MFPs. The study has been commissioned by the Pakistan Microfinance Network (PMN) with a specific objective of analyzing the average returns generated by businesses across five main economic sectors serviced by Pakistan's MFPs: trade, agriculture, livestock/poultry, services, and manufacturing. ShoreBank International Ltd. - Pakistan (SBI-P) was engaged to execute the research.

Five business categories were selected from each of the five sectors as per sampling requirements established by the PMN. Five enterprises were selected from each of the identified business categories bringing the total sample size to 125 businesses. SBI-P requested seven MFPs to participate in this research. In order to select the most popular business categories with maximum demand and penetration-of-microfinance services, each participating MFP was requested to provide a list of its ten most popular
business types in each of these five sectors. The top five business categories with the highest number of active clients were selected for each sector based on the information provided. Finally, five businesses were selected for each business category keeping two constraints in mind: creating an appropriate urban/rural balance, and an appropriate provincial balance in the sample.

Do the microenterprise clients of MFPs in Pakistan generate sufficient profits to afford the higher rates that MFPs charge on their loans? This report attempts to answer this question using enterprise-level financial data. On the cost side, the effective interest rate (EIR) is used to measure the financial cost of borrowing to the enterprise (micro-businesses), and on the earnings side, the return on assets (ROA) is used to estimate profitability ${ }^{1}$. Comparing the two, i.e. the cost and earning-side ratios, gives one a measure of the ability to pay. If the enterprise earns a higher rate of return on assets than the effective rate of interest charged on loans, then the interest rate is economically viable. Return on capital employed (ROCE) is generally used to analyze businesses' profitability or performance and their ability to bear financial costs in a commercial environment, where capital employed constitutes owner's equity plus the long-term debt components of the balance sheet. ROCE does not account for return on current liabilities. In microfinance, most loans are either for or up to a period of one year, and are therefore recognized as current liabilities. Although these loans are a major source of investment (and in some cases the only source of investment for micro-businesses), they have to be ignored for calculating ROCE. Unlike ROCE, ROA measurements include all business assets, including those arising out of current liabilities. ROA is the most stringent test of a business's profitability. It is therefore more meaningful to use ROA instead of ROCE for analyzing a business's ability to pay its financial costs. There is no benchmark or internationally accepted standard for an appropriate ROA for the sector. However, while acknowledging the nature and vulnerabilities of the sector, loans are considered affordable if returns are at least twice as high as the effective annual percentage rate (APR) plus the loan amount. Micro-loans are generally for a period of one year, therefore if the business does not
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generate returns sufficient to pay off the loan (principal plus interest), it will have to liquidate its assets. The above assertion acknowledges that with such returns, the business will be able to pay off the loan (principal plus interest) from its returns without liquidating its assets and have an equal amount left at the entrepreneur's disposal to either reinvest in business or to withdraw as profit. Another measure of the ability to pay is the interest coverage ratio which shows ease of meeting interest payments through profits earned. Commercially, an interest coverage ratio of two times or more is considered good and anything below is considered risky in terms of the borrower's ability to service the loan.

In presenting data, the research uses both absolute numbers and percentages for analysis as percentages sometimes give a distorted picture of reality. As an example, two businesses operating in the manufacturing and services sectors both earn a ten percent return on their assets. However, the assets of the manufacturing business ( $B-M$ ) are worth PKR 1,000,000 whereas the assets of the services business (B-S) are PKR 100,000. The two businesses are the same in percentage terms. In absolute terms, the B-M's ROA is PKR 100,000 and the B-S's

ROA is PKR 10,000. This illustrates how percentages ignore magnitude and how, if interpreted in isolation, misrepresent. In addition, there is an inherent limitation in averaging the ROA as it skews the analysis. For example, the ROA figures of two businesses in the manufacturing sector are PKR 10,000 and PKR 150,000.The average ROA would be PKR 80,000 which is far dispersed from both values. The standard deviation is included in the sectorlevel findings to overcome this limitation. For example, if the average ROA of the trading sector is PKR 180,000 and the standard deviation is PKR 75,000, this means that the lowest ROA in the sector is somewhere around PKR 105,000 and the highest is around PKR 255,000.

## BASIC FINDINGS

We find that, overall, microenterprises can afford current MFP interest rates easily. The average effective rate for the participating MFPs stood at $35.9 \%$ whereas the average profitability of businesses measured through ROA ranged from $79 \%$ (for the livestock/poultry sector) to as high as $226 \%$ (for the services sector). Interest rate coverage ratios were far above the desirable level of two times, as well. TABLE 1 summarizes data across the five sectors.

TABLE 1: Snapshot of Basic Findings

|  | Formula | Trading | Agriculture | Livestock/poultry | Services | Manufacturing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effective APR-industry ${ }^{2}$ (\%) |  | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 |
| ROA ${ }^{3}$ (\%) | $=(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{l}$ | 98 | 162 | 79 | 226 | 154 |
| Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{H}$ | 29 | 23 | 39 | 38 | 36 |
| Effective financial cost-industry (PKR) | =A*J | 11,545 | 8,433 | 6,709 | 11,473 | 9,494 |
| ROA (PKR) | $={ }^{*} B$ | 165,740 | 115,232 | 131,576 | 186,674 | 159,799 |
| Standard deviation in ROA (\%) | $=\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{E}$ | 55 | 54 | 57 | 42 | 55 |
| Data (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net profit |  | 158,932 | 110,362 | 128,122 | 180,483 | 154,193 |
| Financial cost |  | 6,808 | 4,870 | 3,454 | 6,191 | 5,606 |
| Total assets |  | 225,681 | 112,844 | 211,995 | 94,864 | 189,475 |
| Loan amount |  | 32,200 | 23,520 | 18,712 | 32,000 | 26,480 |
| Standard deviation in ROA (PKR) |  | 92,507 | 59,250 | 76,359 | 78,971 | 89,559 |
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## Trading

Businesses observed in the trading sector were profitable with the second highest average monthly income. The sector's effective APR was PKR 11,545 (35.9\%), while the ROA was PKR 165,740 (98\%). The standard deviation between the ROA of businesses in this sector was $\pm$ PKR 92,507 with $52 \%$ of businesses having ROAs above the sector average. Eight percent of the businesses showed a negative variation of ten percent from the average. Interest coverage was around 29 times on average. One (four percent) out of twenty-five businesses had negative equity.

FIGURE 1: Trading - Average Effective APR vs. ROA


## Agriculture

Businesses observed in the trading sector were profitable with the second highest average monthly income. The sector's effective APR ${ }^{4}$ was PKR 11,545 (35.9\%), while the ROA was PKR 165,740 (98\%). The standard deviation between the ROA of businesses in this sector was $\pm$ PKR 92,507 with $52 \%$ of businesses having ROAs above the sector average. Eight percent of the businesses showed a negative variation of ten percent from the average. Interest coverage was around 29 times on average. One (four percent) out of twenty-five businesses had negative equity.

FIGURE 2: Agriculture - Average Effective APR vs. ROA


## Livestock/poultry

All businesses observed in the livestock/poultry sector were profitable. The sector's effective APR was PKR 6,709 (35.9\%), and the ROA was PKR 131,576 (79\%). The standard deviation between the ROA of businesses in this sector was $\pm$ PKR 76,359 with $44 \%$ of businesses having ROAs above the sector average. Eight percent of the businesses showed a negative variation of ten percent from the average. The interest coverage was around 39 times on average, the highest across the sectors examined. None of the businesses had negative equity. This sector had the second highest asset base after trading.

FIGURE 3: Livestock/poultry - Average Effective APR vs. ROA


## Services

The average monthly income (net profit) of businesses in the services sector was the highest. The sector's effective APR was PKR 11,473 (35.9\%) and the ROA was PKR 186,674 (226\%), also the highest among sectors. The standard deviation between the ROA of businesses in this sector was $\pm$ PKR 78,971 with $44 \%$ of businesses having ROAs above the sector average. Eight percent of the businesses showed a negative variation of ten percent from the average. Interest coverage was around 38 times on average, the second highest among all sectors. The services sector also had the highest percentage (20\%) of negative equity. This puts a big question mark on these businesses' ability to operate in the absence of credit finance.

FIGURE 4: Services - Average Effective APR vs. ROA
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## Manufacturing

All businesses observed in the manufacturing sector were profitable. The effective APR of the sector was PKR 9,494 (35.9\%), and the ROA was PKR 159,799 (154\%). The standard deviation between the ROA of businesses in this sector was $\pm$ PKR 89,559 with $48 \%$ of businesses having ROAs above the sector average. Eight percent of the businesses showed a negative variation of ten percent from the average. Interest coverage was around 36 times on average. However, three (12\%) out of twenty-five businesses had negative equity, indicating that they were consuming their assets, were entirely dependent on credit finance to operate, and were therefore, extremely vulnerable.

FIGURE 5: Manufacturing - Average Effective APR vs. ROA


The findings presented reveal that returns generated by the sample micro-businesses for each of the sectors observed in our research are quite large compared to the financial costs charged. The interest coverage is also commendable and demonstrates their ability to pay their financial costs.

However, an important qualification to keep in mind when assessing these returns is that the enterprises included in the sample were selected by the MFPs. A more cautious interpretation of the results would be to assume that these ratios are representative of the best-case scenarios for each business category. In order to get more representative average profitability ratios, bigger samples must be examined for each business category where enterprises are selected using random sampling that includes previous MFP clients who have since dropped out.


## 2. Introduction

Pakistan's economy is facing many challenges such as high commodity prices, a wheat and sugar crisis, declining exports, current account deficits, severe power shortages, a low literacy rate, stagnating health facilities, poverty, and unemployment. Although the Economic Survey of Pakistan 2009-10 shows a $0.4 \%$ increase in per capita income (US\$ 1,051), GDP's real growth rate deteriorated from $4.3 \%^{5}$ in 2009 to $2.7 \%{ }^{6}$ 2010. The unemployment rate during 2010 was also the decade's highest at $15 \% .^{7}$ Inflation in 2010 was in double digits at $13.4 \% .^{8}$ These deteriorating economic indicators corroborate the fact that people are becoming increasingly vulnerable and sliding below the poverty line. Pakistan faces a major challenge of poverty reduction as approximately $33 \%{ }^{9}$ of a population of 162 million ${ }^{10}$ is living below the poverty line. The majority of low-income people live in rural areas and urban slums. Major economic activities in rural areas include agriculture and livestock. In peri-urban areas and urban slums, people are mostly engaged in micro and/or small businesses ${ }^{11}$ in the manufacturing, services, and trading sectors. However, in both urban and rural areas, the non-availability of financial services is a major obstacle for low-income households that want to improve their livelihoods by setting up small businesses. According to the Access to Finance Study conducted at the request of the Government of Pakistan (Ministry of Finance in conjunction with the State Bank of Pakistan) and released in 2009, only $11 \%$ of Pakistan's adult population is banked ${ }^{12}$ - $23 \%$ is informally served ${ }^{13}$, one percent is formal other ${ }^{14}$, and around $56 \%$ is financially excluded. ${ }^{15}$ Without capital, people cannot invest in productive activities, fuel growth in existing businesses, or smooth consumption when needed, thus significantly limiting their chances of improving their living standards. Microfinance promises a market-driven,
cost-effective, and efficient and sustainable approach to offering financial and non-financial services to these microbusinesses, simultaneously serving the dual objective of poverty targeting and financial inclusion. Yet, a concern persists that the interest rates charged on microcredit are quite high.

Why do institutions that specifically set out to serve low-income people charge such high interest rates? This appear to be inherently unfair, and a concern raised is whether or not these micro-businesses can afford to pay such high interest rates given the low value-added, subsistence-type economic activities they typically engage in. Are their investments profitable enough to make borrowing at a $35 \%$ or $45 \%$ annual rate of interest economically viable? Finally, nominal interest rates quoted by MFPs typically deviate considerably from the effective interest rates that clients actually pay ${ }^{16}$, and concerns are raised about truth in lending and protecting low-income clients from predatory lenders. Microfinance researchers have endeavoured to address these concerns. ${ }^{17}$

One area of research has focused on MFP cost structures and financial sustainability. Bank interest rates are lower than MFI rates because bank costs per loan are lower: the transaction costs of making the loan, expressed as a percentage of the loan, steadily decline as loan size increases. Compared to commercial banks, MFPs have very small average loan balances, and this raises their costs. These costs have to be passed on to customers if the MFP is to become financially sustainable. Another body of research has focused on the demand side of microfinance

[^4]and analyzed the returns to capital in micro-enterprises in order to assess the ability of microfinance clients to pay high rates of interest. An argument is made that the low asset levels of micro-enterprises and the credit constraints they face result in high returns to capital, making it economical for such enterprises to borrow at the higher-than-bank-rates that MFPs charge. ${ }^{18}$

The purpose of our study is to contribute to the second body of research and shed light on the ability of MFP clients to generate profits that can sustain the higher-than-bank interest rates charged by MFPs. The study has been commissioned by the PMN with a specific objective to analyze the average returns generated by businesses across five main economic sectors serviced by MFPs in Pakistan: trading, agriculture and livestock/poultry, services, and manufacturing. SBI-P was engaged to execute the research.

The primary contributions expected out of this research are at two levels: policy and operational. At an overall policy level, the research hopes to guide policymakers to understand the dynamics of microfinance businesses and to make informed policy decisions. At the operational level, this research can make several contributions:

- It provides valuable insights into various business categories that can help MFPs design and develop competitive products for different sectors; sectors and businesses within each category often have differing needs;
- It can help address questions of loan sizes and tenors to better serve clients and eliminate the constraints faced by them;

■ It can help MFPs adjust and refine loan appraisal procedures to bring them in line with the realities of different businesses within and across sectors;

■ It can help MFPs strengthen their monitoring procedures having properly understood such businesses;

■ MFPs can gain valuable insights about micro-
businesses and their limitations and needs while designing training materials for loan officers, which will advance their understanding of the sector.

## Effective Interest Rates and the Ability to Pay

MFPs offer a broad range of products to micro-borrowers. Financial costs generally charged are a combination of interest and any or all of the following: processing fees, documentation charges, legal charges, and insurance fees. The term "effective APR" refers to fees plus compound annualized interest rates charged to borrowers on loan amounts. Effective APR is an indicator of effective interest rate or effective cost to the borrower when dealing with loans from MFPs. APR computations factor in up-front costs (like processing fees and documentation charges) that MFP may charge for their loans, and accordingly, the total cost to the borrower is built into it. The effective interest rate for each product offered by MFPs participating in this research was calculated by using average loan size and average tenor for each product. Product wise effective APRs were averaged to arrive at institutional effective APR. Our analysis based on the data provided by seven MFPs showed that these MFPs charged an average ${ }^{19}$ effective APR of approximately $35.9 \%$. An MFP-wise comparison of effective APR along with the average is illustrated in FIGURE 6.

FIGURE 6: Effective APR of Participating MFPs (industry)
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## Interest Coverage Ratio

This is defined as PBIT divided by interest expense. It is used to determine how easily a business can pay interest on outstanding debts. A high interest coverage ratio means that the business is able to meet its interest obligations from profits easily. A low value means that the business is potentially in danger of not being able to meet its interest obligations. For the purposes of our analysis, interest expense is referred to as "financial cost" and includes interest expenses, processing or other fees, insurance premiums, and any other costs that are prerequisites for obtaining loans. Furthermore, the businesses analyzed in our research are below the taxability ambit, therefore the term PBIT is essentially "net income before financial cost." Commercially, an interest coverage ratio of two times or more is considered good from a lender's point of view. Businesses with less than 1.5 times interest coverage are considered risky due to their vulnerability.

The average ratios for each business category and overall for each business sector are calculated by dividing average numerators with average denominators. In addition to looking at the returns to capital and the interest coverage ratio, the research also examines other financial ratios to get a complete picture of the financial health of the enterprises. These include the gross and net profit margins, financial costs as percentages of total operating expenses, net business cash flows, and asset turnover. See Annexure 6.1 for in-depth definitions of the ratios estimated for this study.


### 2.1 METHODOLOGY

The SBI-P team carried out this assignment in three phases:

■ Phase I - desk research and development of research tools;

■ Phase II - field work and data collection and consolidation;

Phase III - data analysis and report writing.

## Sample Selection

The sampling for this research was carried out in three stages. Five major sectors (trade, agriculture, livestock/poultry, services, and manufacturing) were selected by the PMN in the concept paper. The sample size was also determined at this stage: five business sub-sectors were to be selected for each sector, and within each of these sub-sectors, five micro-businesses were to be analyzed, creating a total sample size of 125 businesses. The second stage of sampling involved selecting categories and businesses to be surveyed. SBI-P requested seven microfinance providers ${ }^{20}$ from different peer groups to participate in this research. The mix included microfinance banks (MFBs), microfinance institutions (MFIs), and rural support programmes (RSPs). In order to select the most popular business categories with maximum demand and penetration of microfinance services, each participating MFP was requested to provide a list of its ten most popular business types in each of the first order sectors. Based on the information provided, the top five business categories with the highest number of active clients were selected for each sector. TABLE 2 lists the businesses selected under each business sector.

The third stage of sample selection involved the selection of five enterprises within each business category while creating an appropriate urban/rural and provincial mix in the sample. Participating MFPs were informed beforehand about the number of enterprises to be selected within each category, and the branch staff took the lead in identifying businesses to be interviewed. Ideally, the preference would have been to select five individual businesses randomly from a list of all borrowers

TABLE 2: SAMPLE OF SECTOR CATEGORIES AND BUSINESSES

in a given business category, but the participating MFPs were unable to provide such lists. Loan officers from selected MFPs accompanied research teams during client visits, where the relevant questionnaires were filled. Research was conducted at the client's business premises to cross-verify details that were being provided. Sample replacements were made if the interviewee (business owner) was not available at the time of the team visit or if the business owner refused to provide the required information. Information from some of the surveys was discarded because the monthly net income of their respective clients was significantly higher than PKR 25,000, i.e. beyond the income bracket provided by the State Bank of Pakistan (SBP) regulations.

FIGURE 7: Provincial Distribution of Research Sample
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Section 5: Concusion

The sample was divided equally among urban and rural areas to obtain a suitable mix reflective of business capacities in both constituencies. Provinces were given relative weight according to penetration of microfinance i.e. Punjab ( $68 \%$ penetration, sample size of $49 \%$ ), Sindh ( $23 \%$ penetration, sample size of $26 \%$ ) and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (four percent penetration, sample size of $25 \%{ }^{21}$ ). Baluchistan and the tribal areas were excluded from the sample due to security concerns and Azad Jammu and Kashmir were excluded due to significantly lower microfinance penetration.

Lastly, areas that were directly affected by the recent floods were not included in our sample as data from these areas would not be reflective of business conditions in normal circumstances. Trickling down to city level, again cities with the highest levels of microfinance client penetration were selected i.e. Lahore, Karachi, Gujranwala, Abbotabad, Kasur, Bahawalpur, Haripur, and Nawabshah. Sample percentages are shown in FIGURE 8.

FIGURE 8: City-wise Distribution of Sample


Qualitative assessment was also an important part of the research as it complemented quantitative results and assisted in drawing conclusions where numbers were felt to be inadequate on their own. Focus group discussions (FGDs) were conducted with staff members of almost all MFPs visited by the research team. They were asked about their assessment of micro-businesses' capacities to pay interest rates that were charged by MFPs. In addition to FGDs, six case studies (see Annexure 6.3) were also developed to present a microscopic view down to the client level.

## Questionnaire Design

Structured questionnaires (see Annexure 6.4) were designed, one for each sector identified by the PMN. An exception was the livestock sector, where the milking business is significantly different from other business categories and required two questionnaires; livestock (general) and livestock (milking). After designing the questionnaire, a pilot run was conducted to test the appropriateness and relevance of the questionnaires. The pilot was conducted in Lahore for the trading, services, and manufacturing sectors, and in Khushab for the agriculture and livestock/poultry sectors. Questionnaires completed during this phase were not included in the final sample, but the final questionnaires were finalized on the basis of the pilot run findings. Including questionnaires tested in the pilot run, about 145 questionnaires were completed from which a representative batch of 124 was selected for final analysis.

The questionnaires were designed primarily to cater for the quantitative aspects of the research, and included tools such as cash flow statements (business and household-levels), income statement, and micro-business balance sheets (see Annexure 6.4 for questionnaires). For the qualitative aspect of the research, five FGDs were conducted with the staff of participating MFPs, and six case studies (see Annexure 3) of representative clients were prepared.

## Limitations of the Methodology

Determining the research sample was complex as the ambitious national scale of this exercise required the team to cover each of the five major sectors that MFPs lend to, and maintain an appropriate provincial and urban/rural balance. The fact that the enterprises represented in the sample were selected by MFP staff because MFPs lacked sector-wise client lists at the branch level, gave rise to the concern that the enterprises might be selected for their good performance, which would undermine conclusions drawn as to the average profitability of such enterprises and their ability to pay MFP interest rates. Nevertheless, the study does present a detailed financial picture of a broad range of enterprises funded by MFPs and can serve as an effective starting point for future research which can then focus on individual business categories using tools of random sampling to get more accurate and representative
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estimates of returns to capital. In the present study, even if the enterprises had been randomly selected, it would have been difficult to conduct much meaningful statistical analysis given that each business category only had a sample of five firms; comparing ROAs across business categories with divergent capital intensities is not a meaningful exercise. Perhaps the best way to view this research would be to use it as a benchmark for the best-case scenarios in each business category and undertake further research specific to each sector or business category. This would help paint a more detailed picture utilizing random sampling and bring to light new data on business failures and MFP client drop-outs for each category.


# 3. Synopsis of Micro-businesses 

## TRADING

Trading is generally defined as the business of buying and selling goods and services, particularly without any value addition. The microfinance industry serves a huge segment of the market involved in trading activities. General/grocery shops, fruit and vegetable shops, garment shops, cloth shops, agricultural input supplies and fertilizer stores, scrap stores, electronics shops, and medical stores were among the most common business categories identified by the MFPs. Trading is the largest sector of the microfinance industry with the highest active client penetration of $36 \% .^{22}$ This study looks at the five most common trading businesses and defines the trading sector as businesses where entrepreneurs purchase goods from one market or source and without any further processing sell these to another market after adding their profit margins.

## AGRICULTURE

Agriculture is generally defined as the production, processing, marketing, and use of foods, fibres, and by-products from plant crops. Agriculture includes a wide variety of specialties and techniques, including ways to expand lands suitable for crop raising by digging water-channels and other forms of irrigation. However, cultivation of crops on arable land remains at the foundation of the agriculture sector. In the microfinance industry, the agriculture sector is the second largest beneficiary in terms of agricultural borrowers accounting for $26 \%{ }^{23}$ (i.e. 0.5 million active borrowers) of total microfinance penetration. The majority of micro-loans utilized are for cotton, rice, sugarcane, vegetables, wheat, and nurseries. The top five businesses amongst these were included in this research.

The total geographical area of Pakistan is 79.6 million hectares. According to the Federal Bureau of Statistics, 23.5 million hectares (about 29\%) is currently under cultivation. In terms of number of farms, Pakistan's Statistical Yearbook 2009 puts the total number of farms in Pakistan at about 6.6 million with a total area of 20.4 million hectares. Of these farms, 5.9 million ( $89 \%$ ) are
owned by subsistence farmers (i.e. farmers owning less than 12.5 acres of land in Punjab and KhyberPakhtunkhwa, 16 acres in Sindh, and 32 acres in Baluchistan) whereas total area in the ownership of these farmers is 10.4 million hectares which is $51 \%$ of the total area under cultivation. This means that $49 \%$ of the cultivatable land area is owned by $11 \%$ of the big land owners. However, these estimates do not include details about land acquired by small farmers on a rental basis.

## LIVESTOCK/POULTRY

Livestock includes cattle, buffaloes, sheep, goats, camels, horses, asses, and mules, and it refers to domesticated animals raised to produce commodities such as food (milk, meat, ghee), fibre (wool, leather) and labour (ploughing fields, transporting goods). Often included in "livestock," poultry is a category of domesticated birds kept for their eggs, meat, and/or feathers. In the microfinance industry, livestock is the third largest beneficiary of microfinance loans with a $15 \%{ }^{24}$ share of total active borrowers. Livestock milking, livestock trading, hatcheries/poultry, and animal rearing and fattening were identified to be the most popular businesses in the livestock/poultry sector served by the microfinance industry.

## SERVICES

The services sector has the fourth highest (nine percent) ${ }^{25}$ share of active borrowers in the microfinance industry. Services are the intangible equivalent of economic goods, and generally refer to employing general or specialized skills to satisfy the needs and requirements of the person/business willing to pay for them. Again, for the microfinance industry "services" is an important sector as there is a large eligible microfinance target market that has specialized skills but lacks financial resources to become economically active. For this research, microbusinesses included in this sector are those where entrepreneurs utilize only their skills and expertise to serve their clients. MFPs normally classify sub-sectors in order of popularity among micro-borrowers as follows:

[^8]tailors, barbers, zari workers, restaurant/eatery workers, beauty parlour workers, transportation workers, construction workers, electricians, tutors and teachers, and dry cleaners.

## MANUFACTURING

Broadly speaking, manufacturing is the use of machines, tools, and labour to produce goods for use or sale. The term may refer to a range of human activity from simple handicrafts to high technology items in which raw materials are transformed into finished goods. Manufacturing is one of the five most common business sectors in the microfinance industry, accounting for six percent of active borrowers. ${ }^{26}$ Businesses generally classified in this sector by most MFPs include jewellery making, shoe making, embroidery/handicrafts, garment making, iron works, furniture making, bag making, baking and confectionery, box making, carpet weaving, and candle making. For this study, manufacturing businesses have been defined as those where entrepreneurs purchase their own raw materials and use their skill to transform them into finished goods for wholesale and retail markets.

The salient features of each business category within each of the main sectors are provided in Annexure 6.2.
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Section 6:
Annexures

# 4. Profitability of Micro-businesses 

### 4.1 TRADING

The top five business categories for the trading sector are garment shops, fruit/vegetable shops, cloth shops, general/grocery shops, and agricultural input
supplies/fertilizer shops. Each business category is discussed in detail and TABLE 3, and FIGURES 9 and 10 provide a snapshot of each business category in the trading sector.

TABLE 3: Key Data - Trading

|  |  | Formula | Garment Shops | Fruit/ vegetable Shops | Cloth Shops | General/ grocery Shops | Agricultural Input Supplies/ fertilizer Shops |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Effective APR-industry (\%) |  | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 |
| B | ROA (\%) | $=(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{l}$ | 74 | 121 | 133 | 132 | 29 |
| C | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{H}$ | 13 | 50 | 20 | 36 | 25 |
| D | Effective financial cost-industry (PKR) | = ${ }^{*}{ }^{\text {J }}$ | 15,776 | 7,888 | 14,485 | 7,027 | 12,549 |
| E | ROA (PKR) | $=1 * B$ | 127,082 | 190,215 | 182,054 | 175,271 | 154,075 |
| F | Standard deviation in ROA (\%) | $=K / E$ | 42 | 42 | 70 | 56 | 67 |
| Data (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | Net profit |  | 117,052 | 186,425 | 172,807 | 170,408 | 147,969 |
| H | Financial cost |  | 10,031 | 3,790 | 9,247 | 4,864 | 6,107 |
| 1 | Total assets |  | 170,750 | 157,024 | 137,242 | 132,467 | 530,920 |
| J | Loan amount |  | 44,000 | 22,000 | 40,400 | 19,600 | 35,000 |
| K | Standard deviation in ROA (PKR) |  | 53,153 | 80,513 | 127,089 | 98,460 | 103,319 |

FIGURE 9: Effective APR vs. ROA of the Trading Sector


FIGURE 10: Interest Coverage Ratio of the Trading Sector


4.4: Services | 4.5: Manufacturing

## Garment Shops

The monthly income of garment shop businesses varied between PKR 5,000 and PKR 14,000 with a category average of PKR 10,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $32 \%$ and $15 \%$, respectively, which means a 32 paisa gross profit and a 15 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 13 times, the lowest being ten times and the highest around 37 times. The average financial cost was around seven percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 107,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 26,000 on average, indicating a modest contribution towards personal asset generation. Average ROCE and ROA were $99 \%$ and $74 \%$,
respectively. Average asset turnover was five times, indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated sales of five rupees.

Individual indicators for each garment shop business analyzed are presented in TABLE 4.

This category is amongst those with relatively lower margins, thus requiring a higher turnover to generate reasonable returns. Nonetheless, our analysis confirms that garment shops do have a capacity to generate returns and hence bear the burden of financial costs at their current level. Although Interest coverage is not very high, it is at a satisfactory level in terms of the ability to bear financial cost burdens.

TABLE 4: Snapshot of Garment Shop Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 481,460 | 936,000 | 248,400 | 1,522,600 | 988,000 | 835,292 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 359,920 | 655,200 | 0 | 1,090,600 | 735,000 | 568,144 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 121,540 | 280,800 | 248,400 | 432,000 | 253,000 | 267,148 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 51,377 | 150,510 | 183,681 | 258,500 | 106,414 | 150,096 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 70,163 | 130,290 | 64,719 | 173,500 | 146,586 | 117,052 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 68,400 | 217,500 | 102,350 | 274,500 | 191,000 | 170,750 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 15,018 | 47,300 | 0 | 73,000 | 75,600 | 42,184 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 53,382 | 170,200 | 102,350 | 201,500 | 115,400 | 128,566 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 62,703 | 152,957 | 67,069 | 146,000 | 109,586 | 107,663 |
| J | Net houshold cash flows (annual/period) |  | 4,903 | 95,957 | 23,850 | 2,100 | 1,786 | 25,719 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 30,000 | 35,000 | 25,000 | 70,000 | 60,000 | 44,000 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 6,906 | 3,627 | 5,481 | 19,600 | 14,540 | 10,031 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | = E/12 | 5,847 | 10,858 | 5,393 | 14,458 | 12,216 | 9,754 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 25 | 30 | 100 | 28 | 26 | 32 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{A}$ | 16 | 14 | 28 | 13 | 16 | 15 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 13 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 7 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 11 | 37 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 13 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 144 | 79 | 69 | 96 | 140 | 99 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / F$ | 113 | 62 | 69 | 70 | 84 | 74 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 77,069 | 133,917 | 70,200 | 193,100 | 161,126 | 127,082 |
| v | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 7 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 5 |



## Fruit and Vegetable Shops

The monthly income for fruit and vegetable shop businesses varied between PKR 4,632 and PKR 21,589 with a category average of PKR 15,535. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $15 \%$ and $11 \%$, respectively, which means a 15 paisa gross profit and an 11 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 50 times which is significantly high, the lowest was ten times and the highest was around 269 times. The average financial cost was around five percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were PKR 213,189 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 114,709 on average, indicating a significant contribution towards personal asset generation, leading to an
improvement in living standards. Average ROCE and ROA were $172 \%$ and $121 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was 11 times, indicating that each rupee of asset utilization generated PKR 11 in sales.

Individual indicators for each fruit and vegetable shop business analyzed are presented in TABLE 5.

The turnover (sales) for most fruit and vegetable shop businesses was significantly high, translating into sizable cash flows as well as interest coverage. Monthly incomes and ROA figures were also reasonable. In most cases, businesses were able to make an ample net contribution towards their households, so it can be easily concluded that financial cost is not a burden.

TABLE 5: Snapshot of Fruit and Vegetable Shop Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 1,270,800 | 558,000 | 1,570,365 | 2,318,040 | 2,976,000 | 1,738,641 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 959,400 | 453,600 | 1,261,260 | 1,974,000 | 2,703,600 | 1,470,372 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 311,400 | 104,400 | 309,105 | 344,040 | 272,400 | 268,269 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 52,327 | 48,821 | 138,780 | 102,630 | 66,660 | 81,844 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 259,073 | 55,579 | 170,325 | 241,410 | 205,740 | 186,425 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 89,045 | 126,288 | 142,000 | 225,585 | 202,200 | 157,024 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 48,150 | 62,750 | 100,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 46,180 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 40,895 | 63,538 | 42,000 | 225,585 | 182,200 | 110,844 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 447,340 | 54,079 | 158,325 | 193,459 | 212,740 | 213,189 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 337,340 | 90,479 | $(13,575)$ | 96,759 | 62,540 | 114,709 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 35,000 | 30,000 | 15,000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 22,000 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 8,767 | 5,983 | 1,500 | 900 | 1,800 | 3,790 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | = $\mathrm{E} / 12$ | 21,589 | 4,632 | 14,194 | 20,118 | 17,145 | 15,535 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | = C/A | 25 | 19 | 20 | 15 | 9 | 15 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / A$ | 21 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 11 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 17 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 31 | 10 | 115 | 269 | 115 | 50 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 6 | 2 | 10 | 22 | 10 | 7 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 655 | 97 | 409 | 107 | 114 | 172 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 301 | 49 | 121 | 107 | 103 | 121 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 267,840 | 61,562 | 171,825 | 242,310 | 207,540 | 190,215 |
| v | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 14 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 11 |



## Cloth Shops

The monthly income of cloth shop businesses varied between PKR 4,000 and PKR 28,000 with a category average of PKR 14,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $19 \%$ and $11 \%$, respectively, which means a 19 paisa gross profit and an 11 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 20 times, the lowest being five times, and the highest around 47 times. The average financial cost was around seven percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 203,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 85,000 on average, indicating a significant contribution towards personal assets generation and therefore improvements in living
standards. Average ROCE and ROA were $223 \%$ and $133 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was 12 times, indicating that each rupee of asset utilization generated sales of PKR 12.

Individual indicators for each cloth shop business analyzed are presented in TABLE 6.

Based on interest coverage and high ROA figures, it can be assumed that businesses in this category are not burdened by financial costs.

TABLE 6: Snapshot of Cloth Shop Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 1,008,000 | 364,000 | 1,596,000 | 3,401,400 | 1,640,000 | 1,601,880 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 594,000 | 249,500 | 1,438,200 | 2,875,320 | 1,312,000 | 1,293,804 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 414,000 | 114,500 | 157,800 | 526,080 | 328,000 | 308,076 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 241,974 | 66,923 | 108,144 | 195,690 | 63,616 | 135,269 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 172,026 | 47,577 | 49,656 | 330,390 | 264,384 | 172,807 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 158,400 | 26,100 | 142,250 | 102,960 | 256,500 | 137,242 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 21,000 | 31,300 | 50,834 | 27,450 | 147,250 | 55,567 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 137,400 | $(5,200)$ | 91,416 | 75,510 | 109,250 | 81,675 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 187,026 | 83,377 | 140,486 | 446,040 | 160,467 | 203,479 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 128,826 | 32,877 | 7,486 | 227,940 | 28,967 | 85,219 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 17,000 | 30,000 | 50,000 | 30,000 | 75,000 | 40,400 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 4,340 | 7,366 | 11,546 | 7,150 | 15,833 | 9,247 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | $=\mathrm{E} / 12$ | 14,336 | 3,965 | 4,138 | 27,533 | 22,032 | 14,401 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 41 | 31 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 19 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / A$ | 17 | 15 | 4 | 10 | 17 | 11 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 2 | 11 | 11 | 4 | 25 | 7 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 41 | 7 | 5 | 47 | 18 | 20 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 8 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 4 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{H}$ | 128 | -1,057 | 67 | 447 | 256 | 223 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 111 | 211 | 43 | 328 | 109 | 133 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F* | 176,366 | 54,943 | 61,202 | 337,540 | 280,217 | 182,054 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 6 | 14 | 11 | 33 | 6 | 12 |



## General/grocery Shops

The monthly income for general/grocery shop businesses varied between PKR 6,000 and PKR 24,000 with a category average of PKR 14,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $16 \%$ and $11 \%$, respectively, which means a 16 paisa gross profit and an 11 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 36 times which is significantly high, the lowest being 14 times and highest around 62 times. The average financial cost was around five percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 186,000, whereas net household cash flows were PKR 56,000 on average, indicating a fair contribution towards personal asset generation. Average ROCE and ROA were
$145 \%$ and $132 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was 12 times indicating that each rupee of asset utilization generated sales of PKR 12.

Individual indicators for each general/grocery shop business analyzed are presented in TABLE 7.

Interest coverage and monthly income and cash flows are high. It can therefore be concluded that this category of micro-business has the ability to pay the financial costs charged by MFIs.

TABLE 7: Snapshot of General/grocery Shop Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 2,180,004 | 1,830,000 | 1,908,000 | 453,600 | 1,567,068 | 1,587,734 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 1,778,400 | 1,468,080 | 1,764,000 | 330,000 | 1,298,364 | 1,327,769 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 401,604 | 361,920 | 144,000 | 123,600 | 268,704 | 259,966 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 117,158 | 117,099 | 72,930 | 51,190 | 89,414 | 89,558 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 284,446 | 244,821 | 71,070 | 72,410 | 179,291 | 170,408 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 125,561 | 252,978 | 208,400 | 59,178 | 16,220 | 132,467 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 9,180 | 32,724 | 8,000 | 10,000 | 0 | 11,981 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 116,381 | 220,254 | 200,400 | 49,178 | 16,220 | 120,487 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 308,626 | 274,646 | 84,270 | 86,760 | 175,349 | 185,930 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 78,626 | 123,446 | 7,870 | 10,760 | 59,749 | 56,090 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 15,000 | 28,000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 25,000 | 19,600 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 4,660 | 5,774 | 1,500 | 5,500 | 6,885 | 4,864 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | = $\mathrm{E} / 12$ | 23,704 | 20,402 | 5,923 | 6,034 | 14,941 | 14,201 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | = C/A | 18 | 20 | 7 | 27 | 17 | 16 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / A$ | 13 | 14 | 4 | 17 | 12 | 11 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 4 | 5 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 5 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 62 | 43 | 48 | 14 | 27 | 36 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 15 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 7 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 248 | 114 | 36 | 158 | 1148 | 145 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 230 | 99 | 35 | 132 | 1148 | 132 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 289,106 | 250,595 | 72,570 | 77,910 | 186,176 | 175,271 |
| v | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 17 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 97 | 12 |



## Agricultural Input Supplies/fertilizer Shops

The monthly income of agricultural input supplies/fertilizer shop businesses varied between PKR 3,000 and PKR 23,000 with a category average of PKR 12,000 . The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $20 \%$ and $16 \%$, respectively, which means a 20 paisa gross profit and a 16 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 25 times, the lowest being eight times, and the highest around 259 times. The average financial cost was around 13 percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 166,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 107,000 on average, indicating a high contribution towards personal asset generation and a
consequent improvement in living standards. Average ROCE and ROA were $30 \%$ and $29 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was two times indicating that each one rupee employed as an asset generated two rupees in sales.

Individual indicators for each agricultural input supplies/ fertilizer shop business analyzed are presented in TABLE 8.

On the basis of the financial data presented in the preceding tables, it can be said that financial costs charged are under the affordability umbrella of these businesses. However, an important detail is that some businesses have significantly higher asset bases, which raises concerns regarding their qualification as micro-businesses. Although on income generation patterns, they fit comfortably within this description.

TABLE 8: Snapshot of Agricultural Input Supplies/fertilizer Shop Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 300,000 | 240,000 | 885,000 | 2,484,000 | 1,060,800 | 993,960 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 6,000 | 7,200 | 788,400 | 2,355,000 | 842,100 | 799,740 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 294,000 | 232,800 | 96,600 | 129,000 | 218,700 | 194,220 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 14,700 | 990 | 45,890 | 83,510 | 86,166 | 46,251 |
| E | Net profit | $=C-D$ | 279,300 | 231,810 | 50,710 | 45,490 | 132,534 | 147,969 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 213,500 | 46,500 | 1,300,100 | 830,500 | 264,000 | 530,920 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 0 | 20,000 | 34,200 | 34,200 | 15,500 | 20,780 |
| H | Equity | $=\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{G}$ | 213,500 | 26,500 | 1,265,900 | 796,300 | 248,500 | 510,140 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 282,800 | 214,310 | 124,910 | 109,690 | 96,467 | 165,635 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 221,000 | 248,110 | 50,710 | $(11,910)$ | 27,467 | 107,075 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 75,000 | 35,000 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 1,500 | 900 | 4,900 | 4,900 | 18,333 | 6,107 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | $=\mathrm{E} / 12$ | 23,275 | 19,318 | 4,226 | 3,791 | 11,045 | 12,331 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | $=C / A$ | 98 | 97 | 11 | 5 | 21 | 20 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{A}$ | 94 | 97 | 6 | 2 | 14 | 16 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 10 | 91 | 11 | 6 | 21 | 13 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 187 | 259 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 25 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 13 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / H$ | 132 | 878 | 4 | 6 | 61 | 30 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{F}$ | 132 | 500 | 4 | 6 | 57 | 29 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 280,800 | 232,710 | 55,610 | 50,390 | 150,867 | 154,075 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 |



### 4.2 AGRICULTURE

The top five business categories for the agriculture sector are cotton, rice, sugarcane, vegetables, and wheat. All
business categories are discussed in detail, and TABLE 9 and FIGURES 11 and 12 provide a snapshot of each business category within the agriculture sector.

TABLE 9: Key Data - Agriculture

|  |  | Formula | Cotton | Rice | Sugarcane | Vegetables | Wheat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Effective APR-industry (\%) |  | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 |
| B | ROA (\%) | $=(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{l}$ | 119 | 103 | 74 | 471 | 41 |
| C | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{H}$ | 32 | 8 | 28 | 28 | 17 |
| D | Effective financial cost-industry (PKR) | =A*J | 7,960 | 7,027 | 9,394 | 10,111 | 7,673 |
| E | ROA (PKR) | =1*B | 124,851 | 35,471 | 182,063 | 175,513 | 58,261 |
| F | Standard deviation in ROA (\%) | $=K / E$ | 89 | 62 | 42 | 35 | 45 |
| Data (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | Net profit |  | 120,969 | 31,019 | 175,663 | 169,258 | 54,901 |
| H | Financial cost |  | 3,882 | 4,451 | 6,401 | 6,255 | 3,360 |
| 1 | Total assets |  | 104,952 | 34,320 | 245,000 | 37,260 | 142,690 |
| J | Loan size |  | 22,200 | 19,600 | 26,200 | 28,200 | 21,400 |
| K | Standard deviation in ROA (PKR) |  | 111,131 | 21,966 | 75,592 | 61,350 | 26,211 |

FIGURE 11: Effective APR vs. ROA of the Agriculture Sector


FIGURE 12: Interest Coverage Ratio of Agriculture Sector



## Cotton Crop

The monthly income for cotton crop businesses varied between PKR 3,000 and PKR 26,000 with a category average of PKR 10,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $54 \%$ and $45 \%$, respectively, which means a 54 paisa gross profit and a 45 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 32 times, the lowest being 12 times and the highest around 75 times. The average financial cost was around $13 \%$ of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 166,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 120,000 on average, indicating a significant contribution towards personal asset generation and hence improvements in living standards.

Average ROCE and ROA were $162 \%$ and $119 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was three times, indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated three rupees in sales.

Individual indicators for each cotton crop business analyzed are presented in TABLE 10.

The gross and net profit margins were satisfactory and the interest coverage adequate. The analysis concluded that businesses have the ability to pay financial costs.

TABLE 10: Snapshot of Cotton Crop Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 150,000 | 150,000 | 231,000 | 570,000 | 288,000 | 277,800 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 90,600 | 57,910 | 135,610 | 197,000 | 151,500 | 126,524 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 59,400 | 92,090 | 95,390 | 373,000 | 136,500 | 151,276 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 14,311 | 5,335 | 53,790 | 62,480 | 15,620 | 30,307 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 45,089 | 86,755 | 41,600 | 310,520 | 120,880 | 120,969 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 42,000 | 385,760 | 35,000 | 52,000 | 10,000 | 104,952 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 28,500 | 25,300 | 29,200 | 28,500 | 28,500 | 28,000 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 13,500 | 360,460 | 5,800 | 23,500 | $(18,500)$ | 76,952 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 75,939 | 112,945 | 123,410 | 395,230 | 134,730 | 168,451 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 32,639 | 81,345 | 101,610 | 334,530 | 53,130 | 120,651 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 25,000 | 22,000 | 14,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 22,200 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 4,010 | 4,100 | 2,900 | 4,200 | 4,200 | 3,882 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | =E/12 | 3,757 | 7,230 | 3,467 | 25,877 | 10,073 | 10,081 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | = C/A | 40 | 61 | 41 | 65 | 47 | 54 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{A}$ | 33 | 61 | 19 | 55 | 43 | 45 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 28 | 77 | 5 | 7 | 27 | 13 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 12 | 22 | 15 | 75 | 30 | 32 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 2 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 5 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 364 | 25 | 767 | 1,339 | -676 | 162 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / F$ | 117 | 24 | 127 | 605 | 1251 | 119 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 49,099 | 90,855 | 44,500 | 314,720 | 125,080 | 124,851 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 4 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 29 | 3 |



## Rice Crop

The monthly income for rice crop businesses varied between PKR 900 and PKR 5,000 with a category average of PKR 2,500. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $69 \%$ and $33 \%$, respectively, which means a 69 paisa gross profit and a 33 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was eight times, the lowest being six times and the highest around 16 times. The average financial cost was around ten percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 77,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 38,000 on average, indicating a significant contribution towards personal asset generation, and hence an improvement in living standards. Average ROCE and ROA were $312 \%$ and
$103 \%$,respectively. Average asset turnover was three times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated three rupees in sales.

Individual indicators for each rice crop business analyzed are presented in TABLE 11.

Monthly income and interest coverage were both on the low side. Gross profit margins were stable across the board, however, net profit margins showed a drastic variation for Business 4 and 5 . A deeper analysis revealed that those with margins on the lower side had to bear "land tenancy expenses" as opposed to the other businesses in this category. We concluded that borrowers working on a land tenancy-basis were exposed to vulnerabilities whereas those working on self-owned land had businesses that were profitable and financial costs that were easily affordable.

TABLE 11: Snapshot of Rice Crop Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 103,000 | 91,200 | 42,000 | 145,000 | 155,000 | 107,240 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 29,960 | 27,695 | 15,493 | 39,975 | 50,660 | 32,757 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 73,040 | 63,505 | 26,507 | 105,025 | 104,340 | 74,483 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 14,619 | 26,950 | 3,997 | 79,200 | 92,554 | 43,464 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 58,421 | 36,555 | 22,510 | 25,825 | 11,786 | 31,019 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 56,500 | 103,600 | 2,500 | 3,000 | 6,000 | 34,320 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 0 | 50,700 | 40,000 | 10,933 | 13,120 | 22,951 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 56,500 | 52,900 | $(37,500)$ | $(7,933)$ | $(7,120)$ | 11,369 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 76,421 | 63,125 | 25,010 | 104,651 | 114,018 | 76,645 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 3,121 | 42,725 | $(20,490)$ | 78,671 | 88,138 | 38,433 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 40,000 | 18,000 | 18,000 | 10,000 | 12,000 | 19,600 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 12,570 | 3,500 | 2,634 | 1,733 | 1,820 | 4,451 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | = $\mathrm{E} / 12$ | 4,868 | 3,046 | 1,876 | 2,152 | 982 | 2,585 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 71 | 70 | 63 | 72 | 67 | 69 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{A}$ | 69 | 44 | 60 | 19 | 9 | 33 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 86 | 13 | 66 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 6 | 11 | 10 | 16 | 7 | 8 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 126 | 76 | -67 | -347 | -191 | 312 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 126 | 39 | 1,006 | 919 | 227 | 103 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 70,991 | 40,055 | 25,144 | 27,558 | 13,606 | 35,471 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 2 | 1 | 17 | 48 | 26 | 3 |



## Sugarcane Crop

The monthly income for sugarcane crop businesses varied between PKR 8,000 and PKR 23,000 with a category average of PKR 15,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $65 \%$ and $53 \%$, respectively, which means a 65 paisa gross profit and a 53 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 28 times, the lowest being 18 times and the highest around 54 times. The average financial cost was around $14 \%$ of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 188,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 116,000 on average, indicating a significant contribution to personal asset generation, and hence improvements in living standards. Average ROCE
and ROA were $80 \%$ and $74 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was 1.4 times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated sales of PKR 1.4.

Individual indicators for each sugarcane business analyzed are presented in TABLE 12.

Monthly incomes were reasonable, and gross and net profit margins were high. Interest coverage was also high and when analyzed along with ROA, made a strong case of affordability of financial costs charged.

TABLE 12: Snapshot of Sugarcane Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 405,000 | 400,000 | 240,000 | 260,000 | 405,000 | 342,000 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 171,300 | 82,667 | 75,900 | 138,000 | 130,500 | 119,673 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 233,700 | 317,333 | 164,100 | 122,000 | 274,500 | 222,327 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 82,830 | 34,030 | 25,520 | 27,140 | 63,800 | 46,664 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 150,870 | 283,303 | 138,580 | 94,860 | 210,700 | 175,663 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 50,500 | 70,500 | 710,000 | 393,000 | 1,000 | 245,000 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 22,800 | 0 | 32,500 | 9,450 | 28,500 | 18,650 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 27,700 | 70,500 | 677,500 | 383,550 | $(27,500)$ | 226,350 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 174,450 | 236,430 | 181,830 | 106,193 | 240,550 | 187,891 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 95,796 | 303,830 | 90,030 | 62,193 | 32,462 | 116,862 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 20,000 | 39,000 | 25,000 | 22,000 | 25,000 | 26,200 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 3,300 | 12,270 | 8,200 | 4,233 | 4,000 | 6,401 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | =E/12 | 12,573 | 23,609 | 11,548 | 7,905 | 17,558 | 14,639 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 58 | 79 | 68 | 47 | 68 | 65 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{A}$ | 38 | 74 | 61 | 38 | 53 | 53 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 4 | 36 | 32 | 16 | 6 | 14 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 47 | 24 | 18 | 23 | 54 | 28 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 6 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 557 | 419 | 22 | 26 | -781 | 80 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 305 | 419 | 21 | 25 | 21,470 | 74 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F* | 154,170 | 295,573 | 146,780 | 99,093 | 214,700 | 182,063 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 8 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 405 | 1 |



## Vegetable Growing

The monthly income for vegetable growing businesses varied between PKR 6,000 and PKR 19,000 with a category average of PKR 14,000 . The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $55 \%$ and $51 \%$, respectively, which means a 55 paisa gross profit and a 51 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 28 times, the lowest being nine times and the highest around 173 times. The average financial cost was around $32 \%$ of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 193,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 130,000 on average, indicating a significant contribution to personal asset generation, and hence improvements in living standards. Average ROCE
and ROA were $1,031 \%$ and $471 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was nine times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated nine rupees in sales.

Individual indicators for each vegetable growing business analyzed are presented in TABLE 13.

On the basis of monthly incomes, exceptionally high margins, interest coverage and returns, businesses in this sector appear to be capable of bearing financial costs.

TABLE 13: Snapshot of Vegetable Growing Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 601,000 | 511,000 | 197,500 | 294,500 | 126,750 | 346,150 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 412,500 | 252,800 | 32,200 | 54,350 | 34,000 | 157,170 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 188,500 | 258,200 | 165,300 | 240,150 | 92,750 | 188,980 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 33,990 | 25,795 | 17,127 | 9,262 | 12,438 | 19,722 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 154,510 | 232,405 | 148,173 | 230,888 | 80,312 | 169,258 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 43,000 | 26,000 | 44,000 | 27,800 | 45,500 | 37,260 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 45,600 | 50,567 | 20,233 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 38,000 | 26,000 | 44,000 | $(17,800)$ | $(5,067)$ | 17,027 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 147,210 | 232,075 | 159,213 | 279,848 | 146,836 | 193,036 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 98,210 | 113,875 | 144,013 | 218,648 | 73,836 | 129,716 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 41,000 | 28,200 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 900 | 1,500 | 12,570 | 6,170 | 10,137 | 6,255 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | = $\mathrm{E} / 12$ | 12,876 | 19,367 | 12,348 | 19,241 | 6,693 | 14,105 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 31 | 51 | 84 | 82 | 73 | 55 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{A}$ | 26 | 46 | 81 | 80 | 71 | 51 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 3 | 6 | 73 | 67 | 82 | 32 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 173 | 156 | 13 | 38 | 9 | 28 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 14 | 20 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 409 | 900 | 365 | -1,332 | -1,785 | 1,031 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 361 | 900 | 365 | 853 | 199 | 471 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F* | 155,410 | 233,905 | 160,743 | 237,058 | 90,449 | 175,513 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 14 | 20 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 9 |



## Wheat Crop

The monthly income for wheat crop businesses varied between PKR 2,000 and PKR 7,000 with a category average of PKR 4,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $47 \%$ and $33 \%$, respectively, which means a 47 paisa gross profit and a 33 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 17 times, the lowest being nine times and the highest around 25 times. The average financial cost was around $12 \%$ of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 61,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 34,000 on average, indicating a significant contribution towards personal asset generation and hence improvements in living standards. Both average

ROCE and ROA were $41 \%$. Average asset turnover was 1.2 times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated PKR 1.2 in sales.

Individual indicators for each wheat crop business analyzed are presented in TABLE 14.

Based on this data, businesses in this category appear to have the ability to bear financial costs. However, in the case of tenancy-based operations, operational cost rises drastically, therefore putting such enterprises in a vulnerable position.

TABLE 14: Snapshot of Wheat Crop Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 44,850 | 258,750 | 299,250 | 130,000 | 150,000 | 176,570 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 6,050 | 157,575 | 204,320 | 47,925 | 49,696 | 93,113 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 38,800 | 101,176 | 94,931 | 82,075 | 100,304 | 83,457 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 3,300 | 70,158 | 51,370 | 6,941 | 11,011 | 28,556 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 35,500 | 31,018 | 43,561 | 75,134 | 89,293 | 54,901 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 418,900 | 108,750 | 109,000 | 41,000 | 35,800 | 142,690 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 5,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,100 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 413,400 | 108,750 | 109,000 | 41,000 | 35,800 | 141,590 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 40,850 | 41,018 | 58,561 | 76,134 | 90,093 | 61,331 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 17,750 | 24,568 | 29,061 | 46,034 | 55,493 | 34,581 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 15,000 | 22,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | 25,000 | 21,400 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 1,500 | 3,780 | 4,200 | 3,310 | 4,010 | 3,360 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | = E/12 | 2,958 | 2,585 | 3,630 | 6,261 | 7,441 | 4,575 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | = C/A | 87 | 39 | 32 | 63 | 67 | 47 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{A}$ | 82 | 13 | 16 | 60 | 62 | 33 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 45 | 5 | 8 | 48 | 36 | 12 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 25 | 9 | 11 | 24 | 23 | 17 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 9 | 32 | 44 | 191 | 261 | 41 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 9 | 32 | 44 | 191 | 261 | 41 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 37,000 | 34,798 | 47,761 | 78,444 | 93,303 | 58,261 |
| v | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 |



### 4.3 LIVESTOCK/POULTRY

The top five business categories for the livestock/poultry sector are "livestock milking", "livestock trading",
"hatcheries/poultry," and "animal rearing and fattening". Each business category is discussed in detail and TABLE 15 and FIGURES 13 and 14 provide a snapshot of each business category in the sector.

TABLE 15: Key Data — Livestock/poultry

|  |  | Formula | Livestock Milking | Livestock Trading | Hatcheries/poultry | Animal Rearing and Fattening |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Effective APR-industry (\%) |  | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 |
| B | ROA (\%) | $=(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{l}$ | 23 | 122 | 126 | 45 |
| C | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{H}$ | 22 | 47 | 61 | 26 |
| D | Effective financial cost-industry (PKR) | =A* ${ }^{\text {J }}$ | 8,195 | 6,092 | 6,812 | 5,737 |
| E | ROA (PKR) | = ${ }^{*}$ B | 79,256 | 160,452 | 187,132 | 99,464 |
| F | Standard deviation in ROA (\%) | =K/E | 52 | 55 | 65 | 56 |
| Data (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | Net profit |  | 75,706 | 157,037 | 184,052 | 95,694 |
| H | Financial cost |  | 3,550 | 3,415 | 3,080 | 3,770 |
| 1 | Total assets |  | 348,743 | 131,657 | 148,156 | 219,425 |
| J | Loan size |  | 22,857 | 16,991 | 19,000 | 16,000 |
| K | Standard deviation in ROA (PKR) |  | 40,935 | 87,920 | 120,967 | 55,612 |

FIGURE 13: Effective APR vs. ROA of the Livestock/poultry Sector


FIGURE 14: Interest Coverage Ratio of the Livestock/poultry Sector



## Livestock Milking

The monthly income for livestock milking businesses varied between PKR 2,000 and PKR 12,000 with a category average of PKR 6,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $84 \%$ and $39 \%$, respectively, which means an 84 paisa gross profit and a 39 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 22 times, the lowest being nine times and the highest around 51 times. The average financial cost was around four percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 88,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 27,000 on average, indicating a significant contribution towards personal asset generation and hence improvements in living standards. Average

ROCE and ROA were $26 \%$ and $23 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was 0.58 times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset utilized generates sales of PKR 0.58.

Individual indicators for each livestock milking business analyzed are presented in TABLE 16.

The gross and net profit margins were significantly high and interest coverage was also appropriate, which means that businesses are able to afford financial costs. However there are concerns as to whether or not they qualify as micro-business, as they have high asset bases.

TABLE 16: Snapshot of Livestock Milking Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Bus. 1 | Bus. 2 | Bus. 3 | Bus. 4 | Bus. 5 | Bus. 6 | Bus. 7 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 264,000 | 123,600 | 93,600 | 86,400 | 669,000 | 99,000 | 75,600 | 201,600 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 147,600 | 26,400 | 27,780 | 28,940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32,960 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 116,400 | 97,200 | 65,820 | 57,460 | 669,000 | 99,000 | 75,600 | 168,640 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 10,725 | 12,650 | 9,864 | 11,916 | 520,300 | 37,510 | 47,570 | 92,934 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 105,675 | 84,550 | 55,956 | 45,544 | 148,700 | 61,490 | 28,030 | 75,706 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 786,000 | 247,500 | 211,150 | 79,200 | 893,500 | 100,450 | 123,400 | 348,743 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 30,000 | 12,000 | 23,333 | 7,500 | 176,000 | 10,000 | 22,800 | 40,233 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 756,000 | 235,500 | 187,817 | 71,700 | 717,500 | 90,450 | 100,600 | 308,510 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 99,175 | 81,550 | 67,123 | 78,277 | 176,700 | 61,940 | 50,930 | 87,956 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 37,675 | 52,350 | 11,923 | 21,377 | 60,900 | 1,440 | 1,528 | 26,742 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 25,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 15,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 20,000 | 22,857 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 3,750 | 4,300 | 4,167 | 3,333 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,300 | 3,550 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | = $\mathrm{E} / 12$ | 8,806 | 7,046 | 4,663 | 3,795 | 12,392 | 5,124 | 2,336 | 6,309 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | = C/A | 44 | 79 | 70 | 67 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 84 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{A}$ | 41 | 72 | 64 | 57 | 23 | 65 | 41 | 39 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 35 | 34 | 42 | 28 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 29 | 21 | 14 | 15 | 51 | 21 | 9 | 22 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 14 | 38 | 32 | 68 | 21 | 71 | 31 | 26 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 14 | 36 | 28 | 62 | 17 | 64 | 25 | 23 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 109,425 | 88,850 | 60,123 | 48,877 | 151,700 | 64,490 | 31,330 | 79,256 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Bus. $=$ Business


## Livestock Trading

The monthly income for livestock trading businesses varied between PKR 5,000 and PKR 26,000 with a category average of PKR 13,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $11 \%$ and nine percent, respectively, which means an 11 paisa gross profit and a nine paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 47 times, the lowest being 12 times and the highest around 91 times. The average financial cost was around seven percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 201,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 133,000 on average, indicating a significant contribution towards personal asset generation and hence
improvements in living standards. Average ROCE and ROA were $133 \%$ and $122 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was 14 times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated PKR 14 in sales.

Individual indicators for each livestock trading business analyzed are presented in TABLE 17.

For most businesses in this category, monthly income levels were fair and interest coverage was commendably high, making them very comfortable with financial cost burdens. ROA, net cash flows, and asset turnover were also sufficient, contributing to the overall growth of businesses and households in this category.

TABLE 17: Snapshot of Livestock Trading Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Bus. 1 | Bus. 2 | Bus. 3 | Bus. 4 | Bus. 5 | Bus. 6 | Bus. 7 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 3,565,000 | 192,000 | 1,850,000 | 2,910,000 | 1,620,000 | 1,493,500 | 1,100,000 | 1,818,643 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 3,300,000 | 48,250 | 1,613,000 | 2,550,000 | 1,536,000 | 1,351,500 | 900,000 | 1,614,107 |
| C | Gross profit | =A-B | 265,000 | 143,750 | 237,000 | 360,000 | 84,000 | 142,000 | 200,000 | 204,536 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 62,627 | 21,714 | 42,893 | 47,960 | 12,430 | 80,520 | 64,350 | 47,499 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 202,373 | 122,036 | 194,107 | 312,040 | 71,570 | 61,480 | 135,650 | 157,037 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 80,500 | 60,500 | 88,500 | 32,500 | 230,000 | 19,100 | 410,500 | 131,657 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 27,500 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 34,500 | 0 | 18,000 | 11,429 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 53,000 | 500 | 88,500 | 32,500 | 195,500 | 19,100 | 392,500 | 120,229 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 207,457 | 173,511 | 244,107 | 324,540 | 256,520 | 63,230 | 136,150 | 200,788 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 150,357 | 129,511 | 104,340 | 101,740 | 239,920 | 121,910 | 83,450 | 133,033 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 25,000 | 12,000 | 11,935 | 20,000 | 30,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 16,991 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 5,334 | 2,640 | 2,633 | 5,000 | 5,300 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 3,415 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | = E/12 | 16,864 | 10,170 | 16,176 | 26,003 | 5,964 | 5,123 | 11,304 | 13,086 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 7 | 75 | 13 | 12 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 11 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / A$ | 6 | 65 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 9 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 9 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 43 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 39 | 47 | 75 | 63 | 15 | 42 | 91 | 47 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 7 | 9 | 14 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 8 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 392 | 24935 | 222 | 976 | 39 | 330 | 35 | 133 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 258 | 206 | 222 | 976 | 33 | 330 | 33 | 122 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 207,707 | 124,676 | 196,740 | 317,040 | 76,870 | 62,980 | 137,150 | 160,452 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 44 | 3 | 21 | 90 | 7 | 78 | 3 | 14 |

[^10]

## Hatcheries/poultry

The monthly income for hatcheries/poultry businesses varied between PKR 5,000 and PKR 26,000 with a category average of PKR 15,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $65 \%$ and $11 \%$, respectively, which means a 65 paisa gross profit and an 11 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 61 times, the lowest being 39 times and the highest around 71 times. The average financial cost was around one percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 213,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 125,000 on average, indicating a significant contribution towards personal asset generation and hence improvements in living
standards. Average ROCE and ROA were $146 \%$ and $126 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was eight times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated PKR 8 in sales.

Individual indicators for each hatchery/poultry business analyzed are presented in TABLE 18.

The overall health of indicators in this category suggests that these businesses can comfortably afford the financial costs charged.

TABLE 18: Snapshot of Hatcheries/poultry Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 537,500 | 1,254,000 | 1,310,000 | 688,250 | 2,366,500 | 1,231,250 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 148,000 | 372,000 | 800,000 | 200,000 | 660,000 | 436,000 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 389,500 | 882,000 | 510,000 | 488,250 | 1,706,500 | 795,250 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 100,187 | 825,330 | 432,850 | 168,905 | 1,528,720 | 611,198 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 289,313 | 56,670 | 77,150 | 319,345 | 177,780 | 184,052 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 151,000 | 124,680 | 94,500 | 245,000 | 125,600 | 148,156 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 28,750 | 11,500 | 10,000 | 28,750 | 22,800 | 20,360 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 122,250 | 113,180 | 84,500 | 216,250 | 102,800 | 127,796 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 319,063 | 68,670 | 89,650 | 386,470 | 201,180 | 213,007 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 279,813 | 4,270 | 16,350 | 324,670 | 295 | 125,080 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 25,000 | 15,000 | 10,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | 19,000 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 4,550 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 4,550 | 3,300 | 3,080 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | = $\mathrm{E} / 12$ | 24,109 | 4,723 | 6,429 | 26,612 | 14,815 | 15,338 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | = C/A | 72 | 70 | 39 | 71 | 72 | 65 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / A$ | 55 | 5 | 6 | 47 | 8 | 15 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 65 | 39 | 52 | 71 | 55 | 61 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 10 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 8 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 240 | 51 | 93 | 150 | 176 | 146 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 195 | 47 | 83 | 132 | 144 | 126 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 293,863 | 58,170 | 78,650 | 323,895 | 181,080 | 187,132 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 4 | 10 | 14 | 3 | 19 | 8 |



## Animal Rearing and Fattening

The monthly income for animal rearing and fattening businesses varied between PKR 3,000 and PKR 11,000 with a category average of PKR 8,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $47 \%$ and $32 \%$, respectively, which means a 47 paisa gross profit and a 32 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 26 times, the lowest being five times and the highest around 90 times. The average financial cost was around seven percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 125,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 80,000 on average, indicating a significant contribution towards personal asset generation and hence improvements in
living standards. Average ROCE and ROA were $52 \%$ and $45 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was 1.42 times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated PKR 1.42 in sales.

Individual indicators for each animal rearing and fattening business analyzed are presented in TABLE 19.

In this category, businesses that operate at a smaller scale, have high operation costs, significantly lower margins, interest coverage, and returns, can become vulnerable. Nevertheless, indicators of all other businesses analyzed suggest that financial cost was not a significant burden.

TABLE 19: Snapshot of Animal Rearing and Fattening Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Bus. 1 | Bus. 2 | Bus. 3 | Bus. 4 | Bus. 5 | Bus. 6 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 200,000 | 56,000 | 225,000 | 524,000 | 590,000 | 274,000 | 311,500 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 90,000 | 5,600 | 50,000 | 374,000 | 405,000 | 60,000 | 164,100 |
| C | Gross profit | =A-B | 110,000 | 50,400 | 175,000 | 150,000 | 185,000 | 214,000 | 147,400 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 95,420 | 13,460 | 55,815 | 18,700 | 51,150 | 75,690 | 51,706 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 14,580 | 36,940 | 119,185 | 131,300 | 133,850 | 138,310 | 95,694 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 51,500 | 248,500 | 266,000 | 248,000 | 252,550 | 250,000 | 219,425 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 22,800 | 83,800 | 25,600 | 8,000 | 6,000 | 30,720 | 29,487 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 28,700 | 164,700 | 240,400 | 240,000 | 246,550 | 219,280 | 189,938 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 47,380 | 55,740 | 147,785 | 169,300 | 159,850 | 172,030 | 125,348 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 49,454 | 45,140 | 67,975 | 97,550 | 107,550 | 113,830 | 80,250 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 20,000 | 12,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 24,000 | 16,000 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 3,300 | 2,600 | 6,300 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 7,420 | 3,770 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | =E/12 | 1,215 | 3,078 | 9,932 | 10,942 | 11,154 | 11,526 | 7,975 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | $=C / A$ | 55 | 90 | 78 | 29 | 31 | 78 | 47 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) /$ A | 9 | 71 | 56 | 25 | 23 | 53 | 32 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 3 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 7 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 5 | 15 | 20 | 89 | 90 | 20 | 26 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 12 | 5 | 5 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{H}$ | 62 | 24 | 52 | 55 | 55 | 66 | 52 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 35 | 16 | 47 | 54 | 54 | 58 | 45 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 17,880 | 39,540 | 125,485 | 132,800 | 135,350 | 145,730 | 99,464 |
| v | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

### 4.4 SERVICES

The top five business categories for the services sector are tailor shops, barber shops, zari work, restaurants/eateries,
and beauty parlour shops. Each business category is discussed in detail and TABLE 20 and FIGURES 15 and 16 provide a snapshot of each business category in the services sector.

TABLE 20: Key Data — Services

|  |  | Formula | Tailor Shops | Barber Shops | ZariWork | Restaurants/ eateries | Beauty Parlour Shops |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Effective APR-industry (\%) |  | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 |
| B | ROA (\%) | $=(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{l}$ | 436 | 110 | 225 | 195 | 164 |
| C | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{H}$ | 46 | 38 | 26 | 18 | 63 |
| D | Effective financial cost-industry (PKR) | =A* | 7,243 | 6,454 | 13,410 | 21,513 | 8,748 |
| E | ROA (PKR) | $=1 * B$ | 188,457 | 117,485 | 226,650 | 213,622 | 187,158 |
| F | Standard deviation in ROA (\%) | $=\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{E}$ | 53 | 33 | 22 | 44 | 60 |
| Data (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | Net profit |  | 184,378 | 114,392 | 217,901 | 201,538 | 184,207 |
| H | Financial cost |  | 4,079 | 3,092 | 8,749 | 12,084 | 2,951 |
| 1 | Total assets |  | 43,191 | 106,585 | 100,924 | 109,524 | 114,094 |
| J | Loan size |  | 20,200 | 18,000 | 37,400 | 60,000 | 24,400 |
| K | Standard deviation in ROA (PKR) |  | 100,277 | 38,847 | 49,689 | 93,518 | 112,524 |

FIGURE 15: Effective APR vs. ROA of the Services Sector


FIGURE 16: Interest Coverage Ratio of the Services Sector



## Tailor Shops

The monthly income for tailor shop businesses varied between PKR 6,000 and PKR 26,000 with a category average of approximately PKR 15,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin was $46 \%$ and $30 \%$, respectively, meaning a 46 paisa gross profit and a 30 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 46 times, with the lowest being 12 times and the highest around 160 times. The average financial cost was four percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 223,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 166,000 on average, indicating a high contribution towards personal asset generation, and hence improvements in living
standards. Average ROCE and ROA were 1,297\% and $436 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was 14 times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated sales of PKR 14.

Individual indicators for each tailoring shop business analyzed are presented in TABLE 21.

Margins in tailor shop businesses were sufficient; all other indicators presented a reasonable picture as well. ROAs are also commendable confirming that loans are easily affordable. Two of five businesses in the sample were relying entirely on credit funding for their operations.

TABLE 21: Snapshot of Tailoring Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 759,000 | 364,500 | 292,500 | 1,110,000 | 588,000 | 622,800 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 387,660 | 97,200 | 176,800 | 650,496 | 361,860 | 334,803 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 371,340 | 267,300 | 115,700 | 459,504 | 226,140 | 287,997 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 65,492 | 51,645 | 36,637 | 220,770 | 143,550 | 103,619 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 305,848 | 215,655 | 79,063 | 238,734 | 82,590 | 184,378 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 16,260 | 32,705 | 17,688 | 122,200 | 27,100 | 43,191 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 82,104 | 16,800 | 33,393 | 6,000 | 5,000 | 28,659 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | $(65,844)$ | 15,905 | $(15,705)$ | 116,200 | 22,100 | 14,531 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 352,715 | 266,895 | 161,563 | 247,734 | 87,990 | 223,379 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 58,715 | 92,895 | 11,763 | 64,334 | 153,990 | 166,339 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 30,000 | 21,000 | 30,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 20,200 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 5,538 | 4,950 | 6,906 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 4,079 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | = $\mathrm{E} / 12$ | 25,487 | 17,971 | 6,589 | 19,895 | 6,883 | 15,365 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 49 | 73 | 40 | 41 | 39 | 46 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{A}$ | 41 | 61 | 29 | 22 | 14 | 30 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 9 | 10 | 19 | 0.7 | 1 | 4 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 56 | 45 | 12 | 160 | 56 | 46 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 9 | 9 | 2 | 21 | 7 | 8 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | -473 | 1,387 | -547 | 207 | 380 | 1297 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 1,915 | 675 | 486 | 197 | 310 | 436 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F* | 311,386 | 220,605 | 85,969 | 240,234 | 84,090 | 188,457 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 47 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 22 | 14 |



## Barber Shops

The monthly income for barber shop businesses varied between PKR 6,000 and PKR 15,000 with a category average of approximately PKR 9,500. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $75 \%$ and $47 \%$, respectively, which means a 75 paisa gross profit and a 47 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 38 times, the lowest being 15 times and the highest around 121 times. The average financial cost was around two percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 119,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 57,000 on average, indicating a modest contribution to personal asset generation and hence improvements in living standards. Average ROCE and ROA were 118\% and 110\%, respectively. Average asset turnover was two times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset
generated PKR 2 in sales.
Individual indicators for each barber shop business analyzed are presented in TABLE 22.

Although margins (gross profit and net profit) were significant, there was enormous fluctuation in ROA across the sample. However, a closer look revealed that businesses with high returns had lower asset bases compared to ones with low returns. For example, Business 5 had an ROA of $805 \%$ on total assets of PKR 22,565 which translated into a net profit of PKR 182,000. Business 1 had an ROA of $26 \%$ on total assets of PKR 322,350 which translated into a net profit of PKR 84,000. Both businesses have sufficient capability to bear their respective financial costs. However, in percentage terms, the gap appears to be enormous. Thus, it is concluded that these businesses have the ability to pay for their financial costs.

TABLE 22: Snapshot of Barber Shop Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 302,400 | 308,880 | 141,960 | 240,000 | 252,000 | 249,048 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 96,000 | 151,680 | 3,840 | 52,800 | 10,620 | 62,988 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 206,400 | 157,200 | 138,120 | 187,200 | 241,380 | 186,060 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 128,241 | 72,141 | 19,470 | 77,286 | 61,200 | 71,668 |
| E | Net profit | $=C-D$ | 78,159 | 85,059 | 118,650 | 109,914 | 180,180 | 114,392 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 322,350 | 30,700 | 76,540 | 80,770 | 22,565 | 106,585 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 12,000 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 18,000 | 7,000 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 310,350 | 30,700 | 76,540 | 75,770 | 4,565 | 99,585 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 78,859 | 86,159 | 119,000 | 115,214 | 197,680 | 119,382 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 124,940 | 6,540 | 45,400 | $(8,186)$ | 47,680 | 56,715 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 25,000 | 25,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 18,000 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 5,481 | 5,481 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 3,092 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | = E/12 | 6,513 | 7,088 | 9,888 | 9,160 | 15,015 | 9,533 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | = C/A | 68 | 51 | 97 | 78 | 96 | 75 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / A$ | 28 | 29 | 85 | 46 | 72 | 47 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 15 | 17 | 80 | 74 | 121 | 38 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 3 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 6 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 27 | 295 | 157 | 147 | 3,980 | 118 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{F}$ | 26 | 2,95 | 157 | 138 | 805 | 110 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 83,640 | 90,540 | 120,150 | 111,414 | 181,680 | 117,485 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 2 |

## Zari Work

The monthly income for zari work businesses varied between PKR 12,000 and PKR 22,000 with a category average of approximately PKR 18,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $44 \%$ and $34 \%$ respectively, which means a 44 paisa gross profit and a 34 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 26 times, the lowest being 13 times and the highest around 64 times. The average financial cost was around $12 \%$ of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 225,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 125,000 on average, indicating a high contribution towards personal asset generation and hence improvements in living standards.

Average ROCE and ROA were $276 \%$ and $225 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was seven times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated PKR 7 in sales.

Individual indicators for each zari work business analyzed are presented in TABLE 23.

Monthly incomes in this business category were high. This was also evident from the higher trend line of net cash flows. ROA were also high, showing that businesses in the category would be able to bear financial costs.

TABLE 23: Snapshot of Zari Work Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 1,112,000 | 460,800 | 378,000 | 300,000 | 1,040,000 | 658,160 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 695,000 | 176,640 | 84,000 | 70,000 | 811,200 | 367,368 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 417,000 | 284,160 | 294,000 | 230,000 | 228,800 | 290,792 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 186,330 | 22,968 | 24,409 | 78,277 | 52,470 | 72,891 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 230,670 | 261,192 | 269,591 | 151,724 | 176,330 | 217,901 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 198,500 | 17,220 | 44,500 | 213,400 | 31,000 | 100,924 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 0 | 50,026 | 15,400 | 0 | 28,600 | 18,805 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 198,500 | $(32,806)$ | 29,100 | 213,400 | 2,400 | 82,119 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 350,670 | 294,180 | 220,591 | 159,324 | 102,270 | 225,407 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 167,270 | 128,180 | 108,091 | 65,724 | 157,270 | 125,307 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 45,000 | 30,000 | 27,000 | 55,000 | 30,000 | 37,400 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 13,300 | 5,880 | 4,250 | 12,215 | 8,100 | 8,749 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | =E/12 | 19,223 | 21,766 | 22,466 | 12,644 | 14,694 | 18,158 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 38 | 62 | 78 | 77 | 22 | 44 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{A}$ | 22 | 58 | 72 | 55 | 18 | 34 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 7 | 26 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 12 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 18 | 45 | 64 | 13 | 23 | 26 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 4 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{H}$ | 123 | -814 | 941 | 77 | 7,685 | 276 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 123 | 1,551 | 615 | 77 | 595 | 225 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F* | 243,970 | 267,072 | 273,841 | 163,939 | 184,430 | 226,650 |
| v | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 6 | 27 | 8 | 1 | 34 | 7 |

## Restaurants/eateries

The monthly income for restaurants/eatery businesses varied between PKR 8,000 and PKR 25,000 with a category average of PKR 17,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $16 \%$ and nine percent, respectively, which means a 16 paisa gross profit and a nine paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 18 times, the lowest being seven times and the highest around 35 times. The average financial cost was around seven percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 225,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 75,000 on average, indicating a modest contribution towards personal asset generation and hence improvements in living standards. Average ROCE and

ROA were $344 \%$ and $195 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was 20 times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated sales of PKR 20.

Individual indicators for each restaurant/eatery analyzed are presented in TABLE 24.

The businesses analysed for this category showed high variance in income and ease of bearing financial costs. At one end, businesses had high monthly income, very high ROAs and sound interest coverage. At the other end, businesses had low monthly incomes, higher assets bases, and low ROA. Although businesses at the other end can afford the financial cost burden, they can slip into difficulties if faced with a shock. However, in their current position, these businesses will be able to meet their financial costs.

TABLE 24: Snapshot of Restaurant/eatery Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 3,472,800 | 3,978,000 | 1,556,280 | 900,000 | 1,800,000 | 2,341,416 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 3,046,416 | 3,526,200 | 999,240 | 745,200 | 1,548,000 | 1,973,011 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 426,384 | 451,800 | 557,040 | 154,800 | 252,000 | 368,405 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 179,410 | 148,544 | 294,030 | 59,406 | 146,346 | 166,867 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 246,974 | 303,256 | 263,010 | 95,394 | 105,654 | 201,538 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 42,722 | 16,200 | 12,300 | 301,100 | 175,300 | 109,524 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 112,500 | 0 | 85,900 | 14,000 | 25,000 | 47,480 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | $(69,778)$ | 16,200 | $(73,600)$ | 287,100 | 150,300 | 62,044 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 322,974 | 287,256 | 310,500 | 97,498 | 124,021 | 224,583 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 117,574 | 85,656 | 126,060 | 28,598 | 18,921 | 75,362 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 100,000 | 30,000 | 45,000 | 50,000 | 75,000 | 60,000 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 13,100 | 9,040 | 9,600 | 12,006 | 16,675 | 12,084 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | = $\mathrm{E} / 12$ | 20,581 | 25,271 | 21,918 | 7,949 | 8,805 | 16,795 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 12 | 11 | 36 | 17 | 14 | 16 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{A}$ | 8 | 8 | 18 | 12 | 7 | 9 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 7 | 6 | 3 | 20 | 11 | 7 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 20 | 35 | 28 | 9 | 7 | 18 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 2 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / H$ | - 373 | 1,928 | -370 | 37 | 81 | 344 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 609 | 1,928 | 2,216 | 36 | 70 | 195 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 260,074 | 312,296 | 272,610 | 107,400 | 122,329 | 213,622 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 81 | 246 | 127 | 3 | 10 | 21 |



## Beauty parlour Shops

The monthly income for beauty parlour shop businesses varied between PKR 6,000 and PKR 27,000 with a category average of PKR 15,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $56 \%$ and $43 \%$, respectively, which means a 56 paisa gross profit and a 43 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 63 times, the lowest being 34 times and the highest around 284 times. The average financial cost was around five percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 187,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 106,000 on average, indicating a significantly high contribution towards personal asset generation and hence improvements in
living standards. Average ROCE and ROA were $177 \%$ and $164 \%$, respectively. Average asset turnover was four times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated PKR 4 in sales.

Individual indicators for each beauty parlour shop business analyzed are presented in TABLE 25.

For this business category, margins were high and interest coverage was commendable. ROA was also satisfactory so it can be said that affordability of financial costs is not a problem. However, some businesses with higher asset bases yielded lower monthly incomes - explained through their higher operating costs when compared to businesses of a similar scale.

TABLE 25: Snapshot of Beauty Parlour Shop Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 523,000 | 724,800 | 128,400 | 135,000 | 675,000 | 437,240 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 162,460 | 318,220 | 24,000 | 50,004 | 414,000 | 193,737 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 360,540 | 406,580 | 104,400 | 84,996 | 261,000 | 243,503 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 89,430 | 79,811 | 13,530 | 12,210 | 101,500 | 59,296 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 271,110 | 326,769 | 90,870 | 72,786 | 159,500 | 184,207 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 48,520 | 76,650 | 103,600 | 147,500 | 194,200 | 114,094 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 9,600 | 25,200 | 1,000 | 7,000 | 0 | 8,560 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 38,920 | 51,450 | 102,600 | 140,500 | 194,200 | 105,534 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 273,470 | 313,645 | 92,670 | 74,286 | 182,000 | 187,214 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 175,970 | 144,445 | 140,770 | 45,486 | 22,400 | 105,814 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 30,000 | 22,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 50,000 | 24,400 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 8,100 | 1,155 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 2,500 | 2,951 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | =E/12 | 22,593 | 27,231 | 7,573 | 6,066 | 13,292 | 15,351 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 69 | 56 | 81 | 63 | 39 | 56 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{A}$ | 53 | 45 | 72 | 55 | 24 | 43 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 9 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 2 | 5 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 34 | 284 | 62 | 50 | 65 | 63 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 7 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 7 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 717 | 637 | 90 | 53 | 83 | 177 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 575 | 428 | 89 | 50 | 83 | 164 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F* | 279,210 | 327,924 | 92,370 | 74,286 | 162,000 | 187,158 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 11 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 |

### 4.5 MANUFACTURING

The top five business categories for the manufacturing sector are jewellery making, shoe making, embroidery
and handicrafts making, garment making, and iron works. Each business category is discussed in detail and TABLE 26 and FIGURES 17 and 18 provide a snapshot of each business category in the manufacturing sector.

TABLE 26: Key Data - Manufacturing

|  |  | Formula | Jewellery Making | Shoe Making | Embroidery/ Handicraft Making | Garment Making | Iron Works |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Effective APR-industry (\%) |  | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 |
| B | ROA (\%) | $=(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{l}$ | 233 | 173 | 282 | 40 | 41 |
| C | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{H}$ | 32 | 33 | 74 | 22 | 18 |
| D | Effective financial cost-industry (PKR) | =A*J | 9,322 | 8,318 | 5,880 | 10,398 | 13,553 |
| E | ROA (PKR) | = ** ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 208,003 | 166,290 | 136,159 | 132,467 | 156,076 |
| F | Standard deviation in ROA (\%) | $=K / E$ | 81 | 34 | 56 | 75 | 31 |
| Data (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | Net profit |  | 201,516 | 161,194 | 134,310 | 126,393 | 147,553 |
| H | Financial cost |  | 6,487 | 5,095 | 1,849 | 6,073 | 8,523 |
| 1 | Total assets |  | 89,282 | 96,372 | 48,366 | 328,190 | 385,165 |
| J | Loan size |  | 26,000 | 23,200 | 16,400 | 29,000 | 37,800 |
| K | Standard deviation in ROA (PKR) |  | 168,908 | 56,323 | 75,761 | 98,726 | 48,075 |

FIGURE 17: Effective APR vs. ROA of the Manufacturing Sector


FIGURE 18: Interest Coverage Ratio of the Manufacturing Sector



## Jewellery Making

The monthly income for jewellery making businesses varied between PKR 3,000 and PKR 33,500 depending on the size of the business (assets) and scale of operations. The category average was PKR 16,793. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $18.5 \%$ and $16.2 \%$, respectively, which means an 18.5 paisa gross profit and a 16.2 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 32 times, the lowest being five times and the highest around 91 times. This indicates that businesses in this category generated sufficient profits to sustain their financial costs. Financial cost was around $18 \%$ of total operating expenses on average, which made it one of the major operating cost components. Net business cash flows averaged PKR

121,329 whereas average net household cash flows were PKR 7,120 which means that households benefiting through these business were mostly self sufficient and some were even able to contribute a little towards their personal development. Average ROCE and ROA were $451 \%$ and $233 \%$, respectively, which are significantly high, primarily because some businesses in the category had a lower asset base and higher margins. Average asset turnover was 14 times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated sales of PKR 14. For example, if assets worth PKR 10,000 acquired through a loan were utilized in the business, it would generate PKR 140,000 in sales.

Individual indicators for each jewellery making business analyzed are presented in TABLE 27.

TABLE 27: Snapshot of Jewellery Making Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 3,600,000 | 129,600 | 92,004 | 323,300 | 2,280,000 | 1,284,981 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 3,136,800 | 77,280 | 28,680 | 134,604 | 1,856,000 | 1,046,673 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 463,200 | 52,320 | 63,324 | 188,696 | 424,000 | 238,308 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 103,373 | 15,296 | 11,380 | 29,157 | 24,757 | 36,792 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 359,828 | 37,025 | 51,945 | 159,539 | 399,243 | 201,516 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 226,050 | 22,720 | 27,580 | 120,946 | 49,115 | 89,282 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 61,150 | 21,346 | 12,200 | 68,200 | 53,143 | 43,208 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 164,900 | 1,374 | 15,380 | 52,746 | $(4,028)$ | 46,074 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 247,403 | 55,525 | 62,245 | 127,487 | 142,243 | 121,329 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 7,703 | 22,425 | $(12,756)$ | 5,987 | 12,243 | 7,120 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 15,000 | 35,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 26,000 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 3,975 | 9,105 | 5,545 | 6,906 | 6,906 | 6,487 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | =E/12 | 29,986 | 3,085 | 4,329 | 13,295 | 33,270 | 16,793 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 139 | 40 | 69 | 58 | 19 | 18.5 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / A$ | 10 | 36 | 635 | 51 | 18 | 16.2 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 4 | 60 | 49 | 24 | 28 | 17.6 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 92 | 5 | 10 | 24 | 59 | 32 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 19 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 6 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 221 | 3,357 | 374 | 316 | - 10,083 | 451.5 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 161 | 203 | 208 | 138 | 827 | 233.0 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F* | 363,803 | 46,130 | 57,490 | 166,445 | 406,149 | 208,003 |
| v | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 16 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 46 | 14 |

In TABLE 27, one can see that margins (gross and net) for some of the businesses in this category were significantly higher. There are many reasons for this variation i.e. small size of business, lower expenses (business efficiencies), and different markets (rural urban). The data shows two abnormalities: negative net household cash flows for Business 3, which indicates that the household was having difficulties in managing cash flows; and negative ROCE for Business 5, primarily because data provided by the business showed negative equity (i.e. greater liabilities compared with assets) meaning that business assets were wholly sponsored from external sources (credit). Some of this credit was also going into self-consumption as it was not representing any assets.

Returns (net profit) that each of the businesses above were earning on their capital employed, or, for that matter, even total assets (that included assets obtained from loans as well as equity) were much more than the financial cost they would have to bear.

To illustrate this point, take the example of Business 1: Business 1 had obtained a loan of PKR 15,000 for which the total financial cost was PKR 3,975. In simple percentage terms, it was around 27\%, whereas ROA (including assets sponsored through this loan) was $161 \%$, meaning that PKR 15,000 would yield a return (net profit) of $161 \%$ and would bear a cost of $27 \%$ only. Even if compared to $35.9 \%$ i.e. average effective APR of MFPs that participated in this research, it is not exorbitant. Therefore, it is concluded that all businesses analyzed in this category are capable of paying financial costs on the basis of their profitability and cash flows.

## Shoe Making

The monthly income for shoe making businesses varied between PKR 7,000 and PKR 21,000 with a category average of approximately PKR 13,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $25.5 \%$ and $19.6 \%$, respectively, meaning a 25.5 paisa gross profit and a 19.6 paisa net profit for each rupee of sale. Average interest coverage was 33 times, the lowest being ten times and the highest around 172 times. The financial cost was around nine percent of total operating expenses on an average. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 167,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 55,000 on average, indicating a significant contribution towards personal asset generation and hence improvements in living standards. Average ROCE and ROA were $220 \%$ and $173 \%$, respectively. Average
asset turnover was nine times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated PKR 9 in sales.

Individual indicators for each shoe making business analyzed are presented in TABLE 28 (page 39).

Gross and net profit margins for shoe making businesses had lesser horizontal variation, however, when looking at it in a vertical direction, some businesses were certainly more efficient than others, hence closing the gap between gross and net margin. The negative ROCE for Business 4 can be attributed to negative owner's equity revealing that business assets were wholly sponsored through credit from external sources and some of this credit was also going into self-consumption. ROA for all businesses except Business 3 was high. Business 3 had the highest asset base which means that ROA may be lower in percentage terms, but is sufficient in terms of rupee value. Nevertheless, all businesses observed are capable of bearing microfinance interest costs.

## Embroidery/handicraft Making

The monthly income for embroidery/handicraft making businesses varied between PKR 5,000 and PKR 21,000 with a category average of approximately PKR 11,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $23 \%$ and $19 \%$, respectively, which means a 23 paisa gross profit and a 19 paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 74 times which was very high, even the lowest was as high as 30 times and highest around 116 times. The average financial cost was around six percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 154,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 62,000 on average, indicating a positive contribution towards personal asset generation and hence improvements in living standards. Average ROCE and ROA were 571\% and 282\%, respectively. Average asset turnover was 15 times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated PKR 15 in sales.

Individual indicators for each embroidery/handicraft making business analyzed are presented in TABLE 29 (page 39).

Cash flows (both business and household) were comparatively high for this business category indicating reasonable personal development and sound ability to pay financial costs. Overall indicators in the table show reasonable gross and net profit margins and significantly high ROA and ROCE figures.


TABLE 28: Snapshot of Shoe Making Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 1,040,000 | 750,000 | 703,100 | 288,000 | 1,460,000 | 848,220 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 780,000 | 540,000 | 509,540 | 176,000 | 1,156,000 | 632,308 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 260,000 | 210,000 | 193,560 | 112,000 | 304,000 | 215,912 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 118,448 | 29,939 | 38,148 | 28,710 | 58,344 | 54,718 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 141,552 | 180,061 | 155,412 | 83,290 | 245,656 | 161,194 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 98,550 | 53,610 | 182,325 | 25,000 | 122,375 | 96,372 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 6,026 | 2,000 | 37,230 | 38,700 | 19,200 | 20,631 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 92,524 | 51,610 | 145,095 | - 13,700 | 103,175 | 75,741 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 51,452 | 294,528 | 122,348 | 69,690 | 297,256 | 167,055 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 6,652 | 135,528 | 11,548 | 27,590 | 95,256 | 55,315 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 30,000 | 20,000 | 24,000 | 30,000 | 12,000 | 23,200 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 5,880 | 4,417 | 4,440 | 9,300 | 1,440 | 5,095 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | =E/12 | 11,796 | 15,005 | 12,951 | 6,941 | 20,471 | 13,433 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 25 | 28 | 28 | 39 | 21 | 25 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) /$ A | 14 | 25 | 23 | 32 | 17 | 20 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 5 | 15 | 12 | 32 | 2 | 9 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 25 | 42 | 36 | 10 | 172 | 33 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 4 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 18 | 6 |
| 5 | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 159 | 357 | 110 | -676 | 239 | 220 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / F$ | 150 | 344 | 88 | 370 | 202 | 173 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F*T | 147,432 | 184,478 | 159,852 | 92,590 | 247,096 | 166,290 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 11 | 14 | 4 | 12 | 12 | 9 |

TABLE 29: Snapshot of Embroidery/handicraft Making Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 326,400 | 126,000 | 874,000 | 639,600 | 1,604,000 | 714,000 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 172,800 | 33,120 | 678,000 | 529,200 | 1,328,000 | 548,224 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 153,600 | 92,880 | 196,000 | 110,400 | 276,000 | 165,776 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 34,650 | 24,090 | 39,930 | 36,426 | 22,235 | 31,466 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 118,950 | 68,790 | 156,070 | 73,974 | 253,765 | 134,310 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 114,000 | 5,430 | 63,850 | 3,250 | 55,300 | 48,366 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 6,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 30,100 | 46,475 | 24,515 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 108,000 | 5,430 | 23,850 | $(26,850)$ | 8,825 | 23,851 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 142,430 | 69,790 | 143,920 | 124,957 | 289,574 | 154,134 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 131,730 | 8,790 | 30,820 | 33,857 | 103,174 | 61,674 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 30,000 | 22,000 | 16,400 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 2,533 | 2,214 | 1.849 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | =E/12 | 9,913 | 5,733 | 13,006 | 6,165 | 21,147 | 11,192 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 47 | 74 | 22 | 17 | 17 | 23 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / A$ | 37 | 56 | 18 | 12 | 16 | 19 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 4 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 6 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 80 | 47 | 105 | 30 | 116 | 74 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 10 | 6 | 14 | 2 | 11 | 7 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 112 | 1,294 | 661 | -285 | 2,901 | 571 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / F$ | 106 | 1,294 | 247 | 2,354 | 463 | 282 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | = $\mathrm{F}^{*}$ T | 120,450 | 70,290 | 157,570 | 76,507 | 255,979 | 136.159 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 3 | 23 | 14 | 197 | 29 | 15 |



## Garment Making

The monthly income for garment making businesses varied between PKR 2,500 and PKR 14,000 with a category average of PKR 10,500. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $16 \%$ and seven percent, respectively, which means a 16 paisa gross profit and a seven paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 22 times, the lowest being seven times and the highest around 186 times. The average financial cost was around three percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 148,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 6,400 on average, indicating a very low contribution towards personal asset generation. Average ROCE and ROA were $51 \%$ and $40 \%$, which are the lowest in the sector primarily because this category has a higher asset base compared to jewellery making, shoe making and
embroidery/handicraft making. Average asset turnover was six times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated PKR 6 in sales.

Individual indicators for each garment making business analyzed are presented in TABLE 30.

Margins for garment making businesses were lower compared to other businesses in the manufacturing sector, so they require higher turnover (sales) to make adequate earnings. In general, ROA was low in percentage terms but satisfactory in terms of rupees due to the higher asset base. Business 3 had negative household cash flows although all other indicators were on the high side indicating that households were either overspending by liquidating assets or under-reporting income. It can be concluded that with appropriate turnover, businesses in this sector have the ability to bear the current level of financial costs.

TABLE 30: Snapshot of Garment Making Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 366,000 | 2,733,600 | 2,616,600 | 435,600 | 3,600,000 | 1,950,360 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 313,200 | 2,238,000 | 2,271,000 | 336,600 | 3,000,000 | 1,631,760 |
| C | Gross profit | =A-B | 52,800 | 495,600 | 345,600 | 99,000 | 600,000 | 318,600 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 22,770 | 411,400 | 67,650 | 28,710 | 430,503 | 192,207 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 30,030 | 84,200 | 277,950 | 70,290 | 169,497 | 126,393 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 68,750 | 568,700 | 349,500 | 66,000 | 588,000 | 328,190 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 30,000 | 165,000 | 10,500 | 50,000 | 85,340 | 68,168 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 38,750 | 403,700 | 339,000 | 16,000 | 502,660 | 260,022 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 31,030 | 247,500 | 193,350 | 177,410 | 90,163 | 147,891 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 22,230 | 9,700 | - 33,750 | 23,910 | 10,163 | 6,451 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 29,000 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 12,500 | 13,366 | 6,073 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | =E/12 | 2,503 | 7,017 | 23,163 | 5,858 | 14,125 | 10,533 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 14 | 18 | 13 | 23 | 17 | 16 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{A}$ | 9 | 3 | 11 | 19 | 5 | 7 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 7 | 0 | 2 | 44 | 3 | 3 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 21 | 57 | 186 | 7 | 14 | 22 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 2 | 5 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{H}$ | 81 | 21 | 82 | 517 | 36 | 51 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{F}$ | 46 | 15 | 80 | 125 | 31 | 40 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F* | 31,530 | 85,700 | 279,450 | 82,790 | 182,863 | 132,467 |
| v | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 |



## Iron Works

The monthly income for iron works businesses varied between PKR 6,000 and PKR 17,000 with a category average of PKR 12,000. The average gross profit margin and net profit margin were $20 \%$ and seven percent, respectively, meaning a 20 paisa gross profit and a seven paisa net profit on each rupee of sales. Average interest coverage was 18 times, which is the lowest within the manufacturing sector. The average financial cost was around three percent of total operating expenses. Net business cash flows were approximately PKR 182,000 whereas net household cash flows were PKR 84,000 on average, indicating a reasonable contribution towards personal asset generation and hence improvements in living standards. Average ROCE and ROA were $53 \%$ and
$41 \%$, respectively, which were the second lowest in the sector as this category had the highest asset base. Average asset turnover was five times indicating that each rupee employed as an asset generated PKR 5 in sales.

Individual indicators for each iron works business analyzed are presented in TABLE 31.

Iron works businesses showed a very wide gap between their gross and net profit margins as compared to other categories in the sector, indicating higher operating costs. The interest coverage of iron works businesses was the lowest across categories in the manufacturing sector generally because loan sizes were higher; however, this does not imply that businesses are risky or incapable of bearing financial costs as they were seen to have sufficient cash flows as well as margins and returns.

TABLE 31: Snapshot of Iron Works Businesses

|  | Indicators | Formula | Business 1 | Business 2 | Business 3 | Business 4 | Business 5 | Category <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit and Loss (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Sales |  | 480,000 | 2,700,000 | 3,180,000 | 1,750,000 | 2,304,000 | 2,082,800 |
| B | Cost of sales |  | 249,600 | 2,400,000 | 2,376,000 | 1,366,000 | 1,960,000 | 1,670,320 |
| C | Gross profit | $=A-B$ | 230,400 | 300,000 | 804,000 | 384,000 | 344,000 | 412,480 |
| D | Operating expenses |  | 103,758 | 132,798 | 600,468 | 304,794 | 182,820 | 264,927 |
| E | Net profit | =C-D | 126,643 | 167,203 | 203,532 | 79,206 | 161,180 | 147,553 |
| Balance Sheet (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Total assets |  | 371,000 | 489,000 | 348,400 | 162,425 | 555,000 | 385,165 |
| G | Total liabilities |  | 13,500 | 14,640 | 90,280 | 43,890 | 285,600 | 89,582 |
| H | Equity | =F-G | 357,500 | 474,360 | 258,120 | 118,535 | 269,400 | 295,583 |
| Cash Flows (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Net business cash flows (annual/period) |  | 147,893 | 184,403 | 146,432 | 412,246 | 20,180 | 182,231 |
| J | Net household cash flows (annual/period) |  | 92,168 | 60,439 | 30,432 | 190,246 | 46,480 | 83,953 |
| Other Information (PKR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Loan amount |  | 15,000 | 24,000 | 45,000 | 60,000 | 45,000 | 37,800 |
| L | Financial costs |  | 4,325 | 6,725 | 11,880 | 9,485 | 10,200 | 8,523 |
| Financial Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | Average monthly income (PKR) | =E/12 | 10,554 | 13,934 | 16,961 | 6,601 | 13,432 | 12,296 |
| N | Gross profit margin (\%) | =C/A | 48 | 11 | 25 | 22 | 15 | 20 |
| 0 | Net profit margin (\%) | $=(E+L) / A$ | 27 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| P | Financial cost as percentage of operating expenses (\%) | =L/D | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 3 |
| Q | Interest coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / L$ | 30 | 26 | 18 | 9 | 17 | 18 |
| R | Loan coverage ratio (times) | $=(E+L) / K$ | 7 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| S | ROCE (\%) | $=(E+L) / \mathrm{H}$ | 37 | 37 | 83 | 75 | 64 | 53 |
| T | ROA (\%) | $=(E+L) / F$ | 35 | 36 | 62 | 55 | 31 | 41 |
| U | ROA (PKR) | =F* | 130,968 | 173,928 | 215,412 | 88,691 | 171,380 | 156,076 |
| V | Asset turnover (times) | =A/F | 1 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 5 |

## 5. Conclusion

This research was conducted primarily to assess the ability of micro-businesses to pay interest rates charged by microfinance providers. However, in addition to the primary objective, the study led to valuable insights that may not directly relate to the core analysis, but provide strength to it nonetheless. First, across all sectors, there is a need of financing at the micro-level, and businesses that have availed microcredit have indeed benefited. Second, although there is a view amongst those who utilize micro-loans that the interest rates charged are generally high, they consider the charges within their affordability curve. Furthermore, these micro-businesses either do not have access to alternative sources of credit or can only access ones that are prohibitively expensive (mostly moneylenders and credit suppliers).

The core analysis in this study involved an in-depth look at the financials of 124 businesses across the five main sectors that microfinance providers lend to. We can safely conclude on the basis of this data, that micro-businesses are able to afford the financial costs currently being charged quite comfortably. This affordability rests upon high turnovers and extraordinarily high returns on assets. The average asset turnover was as high as 47 times in certain business categories, which highlights the potential of such businesses to generate exceptionally high sales over a relatively small asset base. The average ROA data across the sectors also puts forth a very
promising picture, as in certain categories, ROA was calculated to be as high as 1,915\%; seemingly unbelievable, but true. Table 32 provides a snapshot of the highest and lowest ROAs and interest coverage ratios along with business categories for each of the sectors.

Keeping the quantitative findings aside, qualitative analysis during the course of our research brought forth interesting dynamics of these businesses that complement our conclusions. One key feature of some businesses was innovation - micro-entrepreneurs have developed innovative products (such as Liquid Petroleum Gas fans and heaters) that have the potential to generate considerable incomes when provided with adequate financing. Furthermore, the market segments in which these businesses usually operate are shielded from competition from large-scale commercial businesses, thus allowing them a small but adequate market share to cover all expenses and generate savings.

Therefore, taking all the previous analysis into account, there is sufficient evidence to conclude that although the average interest rates charged by microfinance providers appear to be high, the businesses that ultimately bear the burden of the financial costs have sufficient potential not only to bear the financial costs, but to generate savings after taking into account all the costs that accrue to the business.

TABLE 32: Snapshot of the Highest and Lowest ROA and Interest Coverage Ratios

|  | Trading |  | Agriculture |  | Livestock/ poultry |  | Services |  | Manufacturing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Business <br> Category | Ratio | Business <br> Category | Ratio | Business <br> Category | Ratio | Business <br> Category | Ratio | Business <br> Category | Ratio |
| Highest ROA (\%) | Cloth shops | 133 | Vegetable growing | 471 | Hatcheries/ poultry | 126 | Tailor shops | 436 | Embroidery and handicraft making | 282 |
| Highest Interest Coverage (times) | Fruit/ vegetable shops | 50 | Cotton crop | 32 | Hatcheries/ poultry | 61 | Beauty parlour shops | 63 | Embroidery and handicraft making | 74 |
| Lowest ROA (\%) | Agricultural input supplies/ fertilizer shops | 29 | Wheat crop | 41 | Livestock milking | 23 | Barber shops | 110 | Garment making | 40 |
| Lowest Interest Coverage (times) | Garment shops | 13 | Rice crop | 8 | Livestock milking | 22 | Restaurants/ eateries | 18 | Iron works | 18 |
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### 6.1 ADDITIONAL RATIO CALCULATIONS

The Gross Profit Margin reveals how much profit remains after covering direct costs (cost of goods sold) from each rupee of sales. For example, a gross profit margin of 0.23 ( $23 \%$ ) means 23 paisas are obtained as gross profit from one rupee of sales.

$$
\text { Gross profit margin }=\frac{\text { Gross profit }}{\text { Sales (revenue) }}
$$

Similarly, the Net Profit Margin measures how much net profit is earned by a business from each rupee sales after all expenses are covered. The net profit margin is a good way of comparing businesses in the same sector since such companies are generally subject to similar business conditions.

$$
\text { Net profit margin }=\frac{\text { PBIT* }^{*}}{\text { Sales (revenue) }}
$$

*PBIT is profit before interest and tax. In our analysis, interest includes interest, processing and otherfees, and incidental costs (e.g. insurance) and are collectively called "financial costs."

The Financial Cost as a Percentage of Total Operating Expenses is an indicator used in our research to analyze the magnitude of financial cost in relation to the total operating expenses of the business. The outcome of this indicator is used to determine the burden of financial costs on business profits and losses.

$$
\text { Financial cost as a percentage of total operating expenses }=\frac{\text { Financial cost }}{\text { Total operating expenses }}
$$

The Asset Turnover Ratio simply compares sales revenue with assets used to generate those sales i.e., how many times assets have been converted into sales. In its simplest terms, it just means sales revenues of PKR'n'for every one rupee of assets. Higher turnover means higher sales translating into higher profits.

$$
\text { Asset turnover ratio }=\frac{\text { Revenue }}{\text { Total assets }}
$$

The Net Business Cash Flow is an indicator used to understand the financial health of a business. For the purpose of this indicator, net cash flows of business means total cash receipts minus total payments, including financial cost for the period (usually a year for manufacturing, services, trading and livestock; and depending on crop/business cycles for agriculture). In micro-businesses, it is the net cash flows that are utilized at the outset to meet household expenses; remaining cash flows are reinvested in the business. Lower net cash flows would either translate into suppressed household spending, meaning deterioration in the living standards of the entrepreneur and his household, or, lesser reinvestment in the business, meaning a decrease in the scale of business operations and/or assets which would ultimately result in declining future profits.

The intention of this research was to determine the ability of micro-businesses to pay interest costs. However, it is often believed that micro-businesses are inseparable from their households. Therefore, the research takes a step further and
tries to understand the financial health of the households dependent on these micro-businesses, using the Net Household Cash Flows indicator. Net cash flows of household for the purpose of this indicator means total cash receipts of a household (including incomes from all sources) less total household expenses on an annual basis. Positive household cash flows indicate savings and hence personal asset generation, whereas negative household cash flows mean that households are unable to make ends meet which can ultimately have an impact on the business e.g. liquidation of assets to pay for expenses.

### 6.2 DESCRIPTIONS OF MICRO-BUSINESS TYPES

### 6.2.1 Trading

## Garment Shops

Businesses observed in this category of the trading sector included garment shops (all wares for men, women, and children), uniform shops, and hosiery shops. Generally, these businesses either purchase from wholesalers where they get reasonable prices and bulk discounts, or procure on order from individual manufacturing enterprises. As clients do not offer credit to these traders, they require loans to purchase their inventory and keep their business cycles running. These businesses only generate moderate margins, so they require considerable turnover to cover all of their expenses. Fortunately, due to considerably low prices, turnover is sufficiently high most of the year to generate a decent income.

## Vegetable/fruit Shops

Vegetable/fruit shops located in rural and urban areas were analyzed in this category. These businesses purchase fruits and vegetables daily from wholesale markets (mandis) at wholesale rates and sell them at a fair margin in their shops located in city or village markets. Again, the wholesalers in mandis do not offer credit, so these businesses require loans. Loans are also utilized for setting up these shops. The margins that these entrepreneurs make are modest and considerable turnover is required to make ends meet. However, most of these businesses are able to generate sufficient turnovers and be economically viable as fruits and vegetables are essential items.

## Cloth Shops

This business category includes shops that sell unstitched cloth of any or all varieties (e.g. plain, printed, textured, and embroidered) for men, women, and children. The individuals running these businesses purchase these items from wholesale markets or from markets in relatively low-income localities that are not only inaccessible to their targeted clients, but also have significantly lower prices compared to mainstream city markets. These businesses need loans for setups and inventory purchases as they do not have access to suppliers' credit. The margins these businesses make depend upon the type of product and location of the business: e.g. heavily embroidered material is generally sold at higher margins than plain cloth, and margins generated at urban locations are usually higher than those in rural areas. The cost of unstitched cloth has also been increasing steadily due to increasing cotton prices. These additional costs are eventually transferred to customers, hence putting an additional pressure on their spending capacities and ultimately affecting business sales.

## General/grocery Shops

The businesses observed for our research included small grocery shops in urban and rural neighbourhoods. Grocery
shops generally sell everyday items such as flour, rice, sugar, lentils, Butter oil or ghee, tea, spices, soap, detergent, snacks,candy, blades, and, razors. These shops purchase their inventory from company distributors or wholesale markets at ex-factory or wholesale rates, and sell them at retail rates in their stores. Margins are generally low but they are compensated by high turnovers. These businesses have access to suppliers' credit but terms and conditions are steep; plus, if they avail credit facilities, they lose the benefit of hefty discounts offered on bulk cash purchases. Therefore, they utilize loans for inventory purchases. Loans are also sometimes utilized to increase product variety or to introduce a high-demand product line. Another use of loans is for capital investment e.g. purchase of refrigerators and deep freezers, and the addition or renovation of shelves, which indirectly augment sales. Since these businesses deal in commodities considered necessities, they are in a better position to survive through economic downturns.

## Agricultural Input Supplies/fertilizers

The businesses observed in this category included enterprises that deal in various fertilizers (e.g. urea, organic manure), seeds, and pesticides for different agricultural products including cotton, pulses, vegetables, flour, and rice. These shops operate in rural and peri-urban areas with agricultural activity. Such traders purchase these products from company agencies located in cities and sell them in their respective areas according to demand, i.e. depending on the types of crops being grown there. These businesses avail loans for working capital management and inventory purchases as they usually lack finances to purchase expensive fertilizers, pesticides, or seeds that are required each season. These traders face relatively stable demand all year around as they deal in products that are fundamental for the agricultural sector. However, they do face the risk of crop failure. Damages are twofold, unsold stocks of pesticides or fertilizers, and loss of credit allowed to farmers.

### 6.2.2 Agriculture

Loan utilization and challenges that come across businesses in the agricultural sector are almost the same across different business categories. These can therefore be explained in generic terms for all businesses. Loans are required for a variety of reasons, starting from the purchase of input supplies (seeds, fertilizers, pesticides) to spending on operating expenses (electricity, labour, fuel, sowing, irrigation, harvesting). Since our target businesses operate on a micro scale, any savings after harvest and debt servicing are usually employed in asset creation (e.g. livestock, house) or cover expenses like weddings and medical bills). Consequently, they are not liquid enough to spend on input supplies and operating expenses during crop cycles, and require financing to fill gaps between harvests.

Contrary to others like them, micro-businesses in the agricultural sector do have access to credit in the form of input supplier shops in their villages and bigger landowners who are willing to provide input supplies and cash for other operating expenses. However, the terms and conditions are not favourable they are charged exorbitantly high prices. This undermines their bargaining power and they are compelled to buy less expensive or substandard inputs, ultimately affecting their yield. Credit suppliers also induce them to sell their produce to them at substantially lower prices than their fair market value as a prerequisite for providing credit. Another drawback for these micro-agriculture businesses is the involvement of middlemen in the disposal of their produce. Their small scale makes it cumbersome for them to take their produce to mainstream markets, factories, and mills especially when they are in remote areas.

## Cotton Crop

Cotton, also known as 'white gold' locally, is an important crop for developing countries like Pakistan. Pakistan is the fifth largest producer of cotton in the world, the third largest exporter of raw cotton, and the fourth largest consumer of cotton. About 1.3 million farmers (out of a total of five million) cultivate cotton over three million hectares, covering $15 \%$ of the country's cultivable area. According to the Agriculture Statistics of Pakistan 2004-05,50\% of the area (i.e. 1.5 million hectares) under cotton cultivation is owned by micro-farmers (below subsistence farmers, owning less than 12.5

acres) which equals $82 \%$ of the total number of farms. Cotton is generally planted between April and June and harvested between September and December, depending on the time of sowing. The total crop cycle lasts six to seven months.

## Rice Crop

Pakistan's rice crop is also among the top-tiered agricultural businesses that absorb micro-loans in the sector. Pakistan is the one of the largest producers of rice in the world. The crop occupies 2.9 million hectares ( 14 percent of the total cultivated area) with production of over five million tonnes. As per the Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan 2004-05, 55\% of the rice cultivated area ( 1.6 million hectares) is owned by subsistence farmers. Rice is the second staple food source for the country and contributes about two million tonnes in national food requirements and serves as a big source of income for the rural population. Rice in Pakistan is grown under diverse climatic conditions and is divided into four distinct zones. In most cases, critical problems in rice production and protection are specific to a particular zone. However, common problems include water logging and salinity, shortage of irrigation water, zinc deficiencies, and cold damage from cool air temperatures and cold irrigation water. Zone-1 consists of the northern mountainous areas of the country where irrigated rice is grown either in flat valleys or terraced valley-sides. Cool air temperatures and cold irrigation water are major problems. Zone-2 lies in a broad strip of land between the Ravi and Chenab rivers where both canal and sub-soil water are used for irrigation. Zone-3 consists of the large tract of land on the west bank of the Indus River. It has an arid sub-tropical climate with an average rainfall of 100 millimetres, which is the main source of water. Lastly, Zone-4 is the Indus delta which consists of vast spill flats and basins; the latter are mostly irrigated. Rice is planted once a year between May and July and is harvested between October and November.

## Sugarcane Crop

Pakistan ranks fifth in the world in cane acreage and production and almost fifteenth in sugar production. The area under cultivation is approximately 0.9 million hectares, with a production range of 45 to 55 million tonnes. As per the Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan 2004-05,50\% of the area (i.e. 0.4 million hectares) under sugarcane cultivation is owned by subsistence farmers which equals $76 \%$ of the total number of farms. There are two planting seasons, fall and spring. Fall planting starts in the first week of September and continues until mid October in Punjab and Sindh, while in KhyberPakhtunkhwa, planting is done between October and November. Spring planting starts from mid-February and lasts until the end of March in Punjab and Sindh. These planting times are strictly observed because late planting can reduce yields by as much as $30 \%$. Sugarcane is an annual crop (10-12 months) in Pakistan. Harvesting starts in November and goes on until January for the late maturing crops.

## Vegetable Growing

A large variety of vegetables are cultivated in Pakistan. More than 36 species are grown and consumed as winter and summer vegetables. The most commonly grown include potatoes, onions, tomatoes, chillies, gourds, and melons.

TABLE 33: Seasonal Vegetables Grown in Pakistan

| Seasonal Vegetables |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rabi |  | Kharif |  |
| Onions | Radishes | Okra | Squash melons |
| Garlic | Carrots | Chillies | Cluster beans |
| Cabbages | Turnips | Brinjal | Cow peas |
| Cauliflowers | Spinach | Bitter gourd | Lotus roots |
| Tomatoes | Coriander | Sponge gourds | Wild cucumbers |
| Peas | Fenu greek | Round gourds | - |

Farmers grow vegetables for their short growing seasons, for self-consumption, and for additional income generation. The area under cultivation is approximately 0.35 million hectares with production of over five million tonnes. In different parts of the country, vegetables are grown in the kharif or summer season and in the rabi or winter season. Rabi vegetables are grown in cool climates of the winter season, which completes their life cycle and produces mature seeds by the beginning of the summer. The seed of rabi vegetables are produced at maturity time in the months of April and May. Kharif vegetables are grown in the summer months and their seeds mature in the months of September and October. TABLE 33 lists some of the most common seasonal vegetables grown in Pakistan.

## Wheat Crop

Wheat is Pakistan's main staple food item and the most important crop. It is cultivated on the largest acreages in almost every part of the country. The total area under production is around 9.5 million hectares with an approximate production of 23 million tonnes per annum. As per the Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan 2004-05, 55\% of the area (i.e. 5.2 million hectares) under wheat cultivation is owned by subsistence farmers which equal $86 \%$ in terms of the total number of farms. The sowing time for wheat varies across the country, but begins as early as October 15 and goes up to December 15 in some regions. The crop is harvested when the grains harden and the straw becomes dry and brittle.

### 6.2.3 Livestock/poultry

## Livestock Milking

This category includes micro-entrepreneurs involved in the sale of milk obtained from animals they own. Such enterprises are primarily concentrated in rural areas. Typically, animal owners are directly involved in milking and taking overall care of their livestock, which is their main income generating asset, and there are hardly any instances where a full-time worker is employed for assistance. The majority of sales are in cash and the milk is sold in nearby areas after retaining a small fraction for personal use. Businesses in this category take up loans primarily for the purchase of animals that eventually produce milk. The animals' maintenance costs are not high as fodder or chara is usually obtained from personal agricultural land holdings, or can be obtained elsewhere at low cost. Other costs include vaccinations, oil, and raw brown sugar or gurh. The product, milk, is a necessity, and maintains stable demand all year. Adverse economic impacts do not usually occur. However, due to hikes in overall price levels, animals are steadily slipping out of the affordability curve of micro-businesses in this category, thus creating hurdles in business expansion. In addition, loan amounts offered by microfinance institution only cater for a percentage of the purchase value of animals. Individuals are then forced to rely on pooling personal savings or other sources of credit for the entire purchase.

## Livestock Trading

This category of business includes individuals involved in the trade of animals purchased at relatively low prices from mandis (wholesale markets) and sold at higher rates in other markets located in both urban and rural areas. They are included in the livestock trading category as traders do not add any value to the animals they purchase. Loans are used to purchase animals that are eventually sold. This is especially true of Eid-ul-Azha when traders make bulk purchases of animals which are sold for religious sacrifice or qurbani. Eid-ul-Azha alone is responsible for the bulk of their income. Apart from actually purchasing animals, the costs involved in conducting this business are not usually high enough to warrant an external line of credit. These costs include transportation, fodder, and rent of space where animals can be displayed for sale. Profit margins on smaller animals (goats/sheep) are not very high and vary from one market to another. Traders therefore require considerable turnover to generate savings after accounting for all operational expenses. Greater margins are made on the sale of larger animals like cows, although these animals are quite expensive and loan amounts contribute only a percentage of their purchase. Lastly, a great risk inherent in this business is the possibility of disease and death in animals prior to sale.

## Hatcheries/poultry

Raising poultry in Pakistan has proven to be a profitable enterprise even at the micro level as it is a good source of cheap, palatable, and nutritious food protein. For the purposes of this research, the businesses observed in this category consisted mainly of small poultry farms located in rural settings. The investment required in setting up such poultry farms is relatively small when compared with commercial poultry farms, but due to the limited scale of operation, the returns are also not comparable to those of commercial farms. However, profit margins are sufficient to generate considerable savings. These businesses usually buy one to seven-day old chicks and feed them for up to 45 days, after which the grown chickens are sold to butchers. Chicks are purchased from hatcheries usually on a cash basis. Micro-loans in this category are thus usually for the purchase of chicks. The principal risk in this business is chicken mortality and viral diseases e.g. bird flu due to which the demand for chicken meat almost vanishes. Such calamities can ruin business overnight. Furthermore, a lack of finances means that such businesses cannot expand their operations to increase their turnover and profits.

## Animal Rearing and Fattening

Animal rearing and fattening is different from livestock trading as these businesses purchase young animals (goats, sheep, cows, buffaloes) and raise them before their eventual sale. The ultimate objective is to sell nurtured animals either for their milk or meat. Most businesses raise their animals without building separate sheds. Work is divided and family members lend a hand in taking care of the animals. Purchases are generally made from mandis. Young animals are reared and fattened to ready them for sale at maturity. The animals are usually nourished on fodder (a major cost component) produced on self-owned land or fodder purchased from other sources. Other low-cost expenses include vaccination, ghee, and gurh. Loans are utilized for the purchase of young animals, and in some instances, for the construction of animal sheds. Animals raised are sold in mandis or to butchers. Revenues receive a boost during Eid-ul-Azha. Since expenses incurred for raising animals are not on the high side, profit margins are quite robust and become more attractive during the Eid season. However, due to rising livestock prices, loans are becoming less useful for this business. Like other animal-related sectors, this category is at risk from animal diseases and mortality.

### 6.2.4 Services

## Tailor Shops

Tailors providing stitching services to both male and female clients are included in this business category. The major material, cloth, is provided by clients and tailors only provide their stitching and sowing services. Supplementary materials such as thread, buttons, and collars are usually purchased by tailors themselves, but these items make up only a small percentage of the overall cost involved. Tailoring businesses operate from specific tailor shops in marketplaces as well as households where women provide these services from their homes. The mode of operation i.e. shop vs. home-based enterprise has an impact on profit margins. Tailor shops have greater expenses as they have to pay rent and utilities at commercial rates. However, they have access to the wider market as they can attract walk-in customers using shop window displays. On the other hand, home-based tailors do not have to pay rent and other expenses, but they are confined to a much smaller market, usually just their own neighbourhoods. Home-based businesses are generally run by household women who provide their services only part-time to augment family income. The tailoring business does not require a particularly high initial investment. Loans utilized are often for purchasing sewing machines (capital investment), setting-up a shop, employing workers for business expansion, and bulk purchases of supplementary materials. However, deteriorating economic conditions have led people to reduce their spending on clothes, which has had a trickle-down impact on tailoring businesses.

## Barber Shops

Barber shops providing services such as haircuts, hair treatment, shaves, facials, and massages specifically to male clients were surveyed during this research. It is the second most popular business category for which micro-loans are provided in the services sector. Loans are utilized for different purposes including the purchase of seats, mirrors, counters, creams, blades, equipment, and air conditioners. An important factor that plays a pivotal role in the success of this type of business is the location of the shop. If barber shops are located in business centres and are well-maintained in terms of overall ambience (seats, air conditioning, cleanliness, trendy magazines), they are amongst the few businesses that are impervious to any sort of limitation or adverse economic impact. Haircuts and shaves are considered a necessity by almost everyone of any age, so the market served is sizable. The only barrier in the success of these businesses appears to be competition, as there are usually several barber shops in each locality.

## Zari Work

Zari work in this category includes businesses providing zari services for female wear, e.g. shirts, kurtas, dupattas, frock sets, and lehngas. Designs and cloth are provided usually by clients running retail shops in city centres. Supplementary materials such as nug (diamantes), moti (beads), sitara (flat beads), and tilla (metallic thread) are provided by these service providers. Loans are utilized for the purchase of addas (large wooden/metal frames for cloth mounting), materials in bulk, and/or payment to part and full-time workers. This business category is amongst those having relatively sound demand throughout the year. Sales tend to increase considerably during the wedding and Eid seasons, thus turnovers and profit margins are usually healthy enough to generate decent incomes. However, due to scarce resources, most of these businesses can either cater for the relatively modest demand from their own neighbourhoods where margins are relatively low, or they can serve as outsourced service providers for mainstream boutiques in active city areas in which case the involvement of middlemen reduces their margins. Furthermore, financially weaker businesses are limited by their ability to hire larger workforces, or to expand their workspaces, as they usually work at their homes, which have to cater to both family and business needs.

## Restaurants/eateries

Small roadside restaurants referred locally as 'hotels' that provide breakfast, lunch, dinner, and tea, were observed in this category. Such business need finances for their working capital needs i.e. the purchase of groceries and rations in bulk on cash. Utilizing credit granted by their suppliers would mean high rates, whereas cash purchases mean competitive rates and bulk discounts. Thus, the interest cost on loans is smaller compared to supplier credit granted in the market. Other reasons for taking out loans include renovation (furniture, utensils) and business expansion (e.g. brick ovens or tandoors). The nature of this business shields the category from direct adverse economic impact as customers are usually working class individuals for whom eating out is the most convenient option. Hence, if such a business is strategically located in an area where labour or commercial activity is located, the sales can be quite remarkable. Such businesses are not subject to major limitations other than a lack of finances to expand operations, as loan amounts on their own are not usually enough to finance business expansion.

## Beauty Parlour Shops

Beauty parlours are the fifth most popular business type that utilize micro-loans in the services sector. They provide services including haircuts, hair treatment, facials, massages, hair removal, and makeup (bridal, party, casual) to female clients. These businesses require loans for their setups (seats, mirrors, counters, air conditioners, and other decor), and for the purchase of makeup materials (lipsticks, eyeshades, lotions, creams), and equipment (hair dryers, hair irons, steamers). These businesses earn a steady flow of income from certain services that are considered a necessity by clients. The greatest earnings are made from bridal makeup and hair/skin treatments, but these usually flourish only during the wedding and Eid seasons. If such businesses are able to develop a good reputation, the turnover is enough to generate ample earnings; makeup and personal grooming has become an important part of the female personality. However,
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some proprietors operate such businesses part-time only as an extra source of income, and are unable to attend to their businesses properly, which naturally has an impact on their profit margins.

### 6.2.5 Manufacturing

## Jewellery Making

The businesses observed in this sector included makers of jewellery boxes, jewellery stands, and artificial jewellery. These businesses are classified under manufacturing since owners use their own materials for assembling their products. The raw materials purchased by entrepreneurs in this sector vary depending upon the nature of product. For example, the assembly of jewellery stands requires cardboard, hardboard, wood, glass, and plastic. Artificial jewellery requires copper or taamba and brass or pital. Loans are used for purchasing these raw materials and for maintaining liquidity and managing working capital requirements. Limitations in this sector vary depending on the product. For example, jewellery box and jewellery stand makers are constrained by the fact that their products are mostly in demand during the wedding season. A point to note is that consistent hikes in the price of gold have decreased the demand for gold jewellery, especially in areas where these micro-entrepreneurs operate, thus indirectly affecting demand for their products which complement gold jewellery. Artificial jewellery makers, however, do experience year-round demand because their products are cheap and often of a flimsy make, so customers tend to purchase them more often. Although such jewellery is highly demanded, increases in the price of methane gas (used as fuel in the moulding of jewellery) have caused profit margins to fall.

## Shoe Making

For the purposes of this research, shoe making includes businesses involved in manufacturing whole shoes, parts of shoes, chappals, embroidered toe box'top straps,' and shoe heels. Major raw materials purchased by these businesses include soles, leather, sheets, glue, embroidery material (moti, kora, dabka). Loans are used for purchasing raw materials, maintaining liquidity, and, in some instances, hiring full or part-time labour. While examining challenges to this business category, it should be noted that these businesses are often located in areas that are not accessible to the average walk-in customer. Also, finances do not permit setting up display shops - a reason which compels owners to sell to shops in city centres. Hence, they end up charging only a modest percentage of profit over and above their total costs. In addition, some of these businesses depend on order-based production, which results in erratic demand. However, demand-based production also means that these businesses are in a position to cater to upcoming trends and local preferences, thus enabling them to earn reasonable profits. In general, this sector has been hit by the overall increase in prices in the country; that makes raw materials (especially leather) very expensive to purchase, which lowers net margins.

## Embroidery/handicraft Making

Hand-crafted decoration item makers and embroidered item makers (ladies' shirts, girls'frocks, lehngas, dupattas, shawls, bedspreads) are classified in this business category for this research. The raw materials purchased by these businesses depend upon the nature of the product but most commonly includes cloth, tilla, moti, sitara, nug, thread, nalli, paper, pipes, and colour. Loan utilization is primarily for the purchase of raw material. Businesses in this category face a variety of challenges. Due to seasonality effects (manufacture of decoration items used in weddings), profit margins are not high enough to generate savings for an entire year. Thus, these individuals require considerable turnovers each season to make ends meet. Also, consumers have been cutting back on items not considered essential due to increasing inflation. Consequently, decoration item sales have suffered. Lastly, the involvement of middlemen (due to a lack of finances and cultural limitations that restrict female manufacturers' from directly accessing end-users) reduces profit margins. Embroidered cloth manufacturing has relatively stable demand throughout the year (apart from the months of Muharram and Safar in the Islamic lunar calendar). Such businesses are usually owned by women, who due to social and

cultural limitations, cannot move around freely and must rely on middlemen (e.g. shopkeepers) to sell their crafts. Even the few who face no such restrictions are limited by the lack of access to amounts of capital large enough to set up their own display centres in commercial areas.

## Garment Making

Businesses studied in this category consisted of makers of stitched garments (ladies/gentlemen suits, shirts, children's garments, school uniforms). The main raw material inputs purchased by these businesses include cloth, buttons, collars, zips, thread, and hooks. Loans were used to purchase raw materials (mostly cloth) and manage liquidity between business cycles as input suppliers (retail cloth sellers) do not generally give credit terms. Their customers do not pay for orders in advance, which make a loan useful for financing initial cloth purchases. The most common limitation encountered by businesses in this category is that the entrepreneurs manufacturing these clothes do not usually sell to mainstream customers; rather they sell to shops based on orders. Hence, profit margins hardly justify the efforts involved, and middlemen make the bulk of the profits. However, demand is stable throughout the year in most instances, so earnings are sufficient to cover expenses. However, a setback that these businesses are facing is rising cloth prices due to the increased cost of cotton in the country. Although a large portion of this price increase is transferred to the end customer, the push and pull between demand and supply brings profit margins down.

## Iron Works

The businesses examined in this category manufacture iron and steel furniture, decorative lamps, and windows and doors. Raw materials purchased include iron, cables or sariya, angles, pipes, and paint. Loans are generally utilized by these businesses for the purchase of raw material (mostly iron). These businesses require heavy investments to purchase the raw material, which is not easily available. As a result, they rely on order-based production systems instead of retail selling. In addition, entrepreneurs in this sector cannot afford to have display shops where they can showcase products and earn higher profits. Although this business has low sales during the rainy season, sales remain stable the rest of the year. However, like many other sectors, the overall slump in the economy has decreased demand and hurt their profits.

### 6.3 CASE STUDIES

## Manufacturing: Artificial jewellery making

Ms. Qamar Jahan lives with her old father and brother in the Landhi area of Karachi. Her father is a retired government servant, and until recently, was the main bread winner for the family. After his retirement, he is unable to contribute to the family income due to his old age and ill-health.

Artificial jewellery making is an easily accessible option for urban low-income women with little or no education, and those who observe purdah and cannot work outside their homes. Qamar also knew that she could not leave her aged father alone for too long, so she learned artificial jewellery-making and started working from home.

She has been running her own business for the last four years. As the business grew, she needed finances to buy more raw materials, which she could not afford. She convinced a relative to partner with her and pool money together. She also availed a micro-loan to expand her operations. Qamar borrowed PKR 15,000 in the first loan cycle and invested it in raw material for the business. She paid a flat service charge of $22 \%$ (PKR 300) as processing fee and PKR 375 as an insurance fee to the bank.

She prepares 1,000 sets of earrings weekly and sells them on the wholesale market at PKR 75 per set. Her manufacturing

cost per set comes to PKR 65.35, leaving a 13\% gross margin per set. Her monthly operating cost is PKR 7,500, giving her a monthly net income of approximately PKR 30,000. Her ROCE is $221 \%$ and her interest coverage is approximately 92 times which means that she generates more than sufficient revenues to satisfy her financial cost burden. The profit is divided equally between the two partners. Her partner takes orders from the wholesale market and buys raw materials. They earn enough income from the business to be able to pay off loan instalments on a regular basis. Now Qamar lives comfortably with her family and even supports her low-income married sister on a monthly basis. From the disposable cash flow generated from her business, she has purchased dowry for her marriage that is expected soon, and contributes to three committees to save for rainy days.

## Manufacturing: Iron works

'Geo Rod Furniture' on Shah Kamal Road, an active business area in Lahore, is a small iron works shop run by Irfan Javed and his father. They manufacture iron beds, dining tables, singhar maize (dressing tables), lamps, and sofa sets. Irfan's father, Mr. Javed, has been in the iron works business for the last forty-five years, and has massive experience in this field. They have two hired employees. Their business depends entirely on orders from acquaintances and other business contacts and there is no sale of readymade products as they do not possess the finances to provide readymade products that hold a risk of not being sold. They do not have a display centre either. Most of their sales are on cash, but advance payments are sometimes received from clients for the purchase of iron that is used in manufacturing.

Their profit margins are not very high. However, if the turnover is good, their profits are enough to provide sufficient savings after accounting for all costs involved. Their ROCE is $67 \%$ and their interest coverage ratio is nine times. The table below lists profit margins for each product line.

| Item | Sales Price | Direct Cost | Gross Profit Margin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PKR |  |  |
| Beds | 10,000 | 8,000 | 20\% |
| Singhar maize | 6,000 | 4,500 | 25\% |
| Dining tables | 14,000 | 11,000 | 21\% |
| Lamps | 800 | 500 | 37.5\% |
| Sofa sets | 15,000 | 12,000 | 20\% |

When business conditions are favourable, they are able to sell about 25 items a month, which is enough to support their family. However, during business slumps (which Irfan and his father have been experiencing over the last few months), it becomes difficult to make ends meet.

They have taken out a two-year loan of PKR 60,000 from an MFP at a flat rate of about 20\%. Originally, the purpose of the loan was to purchase raw materials, but sluggish demand meant that they were unable to utilize the loan effectively, and ended up using a significant portion of it to cover personal expenses. Both of them were of the view that the interest rate charged was unaffordable, given current economic conditions. However, Irfan's father stated that the interest rate charged becomes affordable when demand is high. He said "when the business is running smoothly and there is sound demand in the market as it used to be, I can repay entire loans from the proceeds of only one deal."

This business is their primary source of income, so when demand is high, interest rates do not seem exorbitant. When asked about alternative sources of credit, they stated that they had not yet explored any sources of credit other than the MFP that gave them their loan. They said they were looking for a larger loan amount after the repayment of their current loan to set up a display centre and benefit from walk-in sales. They are confident that this will raise business turnover and profit margins considerably.

## Services: Zari work

In Mohala Afzal Town, Ladhay Wala, Gujranwala, Muhammad Arshad has been running a small, successful business of providing embroidery or zari services to clients who order different types of women's outfits (kurtas, lehngas). Arshad and his four part-time workers provide their services to boutiques in Lahore and Gujranwala who provide cloth and designs. The materials used in designing are purchased from Gujranwala and Lahore.

The profits that Arshad is able to make on each of his three product lines are remarkable as the cost of materials used is relatively low in comparison to their sales price. Labour is a major cost component, but remains low for Arshad as his workers are part-time.

In the normal course of business, he is able to sell six to seven kurtas, six to seven frock sets, and two to three lehngas per month, which results in considerable savings. As a lehnga set requires more skill and time, Arshad designs them himself, saving on labour costs, and benefitting from an $83 \%$ gross profit margin.

He is currently in his fourth loan-cycle with an MFP, utilizing a loan of PKR 30,000 and paying a monthly instalment of PKR 3,013 . The flat interest rate charged is about $21 \%$ per annum. His loan interest coverage is about 93 times and the ROCE is $421 \%$. He initially designed only kurtas, but the availability of credit allowed him to extend his work to frock sets and lehngas, which require more capital because of expensive materials and higher labour cost. He says he has been successful in using his credit line to gradually expand his business as he used the loans in purchasing more equipment addas and input materials and hiring a part-time workforce.

| Item | Sales Price | Direct Cost | Gross Profit Margin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PKR |  |  |
| Kurtas | 2,500 | 500 | 80\% |
| Frock sets | 2,000 | 400 | 80\% |
| Lehngas | 9,000 | 1,500 | 83\% |

Arshad also said that his services yield considerable profits for him to comfortably finance the interest charged. Due to the fact that he uses only part-time labour and runs the business from home, he is amongst the truly successful microfinance borrowers who have progressed to higher levels of profitability. He mentioned the fact that he has been able to save enough money to comfortably pay for his wedding expenses of about PKR 200,000.

Looking ahead, he is planning to expand his workplace and hire a full-time labour force, for which he shall require higher loan amounts. He is quite confident that his business will yield enough profits to finance higher instalment amounts.

## Trading: Cloth sale

Tahira Shafaqat, a mother of three, supports her husband in raising their children by selling unstitched cloth. They reside at the Islamic Center, Shah Di Khui, an area relatively far from urban Lahore. She has been engaged in this business for the last ten years and has become an expert salesperson. She purchases cloth from areas within Lahore where costs are relatively lower than those of mainstream markets and sells directly to her customers. She deals in four product lines, namely 'lady's three-piece fancy suits,'lady's plain suits,'men's kurtas,' and 'children's embroidered suits.' She conducts her entire business herself without any staff. Supplying clothes to different areas of the city, her main selling points are hospitals where she goes almost every other day to make sales to lady doctors. The sales are mostly made in cash. Likewise, purchases are also made in cash, as the whole sellers from whom she purchases the clothes grant no credit.

The bulk of her profits are made from her beautifully embroidered lady's fancy suits, and children's embroidered suits. She provides the extra service of delivering clothes to her customers' homes for which they are willing to pay extra. Tahira is earning an average gross profit margin of around $45 \%$ on her sales and is able to save enough each month to provide considerable support to her family.

|  | Sales Price |  |  | Direct Cost |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Item |  | PKR |  |  |  |

Tahira is in her first loan-cycle with an MFP from which she took out a 15-month loan of PKR 17,000. She pays a monthly instalment of PKR 1,500. Her loan interest coverage is around 41 times and her ROCE is $128 \%$. She has taken out this loan to keep her business cycle running and to expand her business, as she sees considerable profits if she has funds to invest. She does not find the monthly instalments difficult to pay, especially when sales are at their peak during the Eid and wedding seasons. She is able to save enough during these seasons to finance the remaining instalments. Although the interest rate charged by the MFP is affordable, she still considers the rate charged high as the residual income left does not justify the effort she puts in making sales. Although her business has the capacity to pay loan instalments without too much of a strain, she personally considers it to be unjustifiably high. When asked how much she would be willing to pay to an investor willing to invest in her business, she stated that about ten percent to $15 \%$ was the maximum she could afford to pay. While answering questions on alternative sources of credit, she stated that there are private money lenders who lend at abnormally high rates, and that the MFP she is currently borrowing from is a much better option. As Tahira puts it, she is planning to expand her business so that she can save enough and eventually set up her own small boutique in a couple of years. She would require more funding to achieve her plan. She is confident that with sufficient funds, she can generate even higher margins from her business to pay higher instalment amounts and interest rates. She aims to apply for a higher loan amount in the next loan-cycle.

## Agriculture: Vegetable grower

Parveen Salim is a low-income, yet courageous lady who lives in a remote village of Haripur. The village Chajian is located in the middle of lush green mountains with spring water and scenic views, but lacks economic opportunities and basic facilities. Parveen was married a decade ago to Salim who is neither educated nor has any skills, and works as a daily wage labourer. They live in a mud house with their four children. The family's income is barely enough to meet the basic household needs of the family.

The area is conservative and limits women's participation in commercial activities. When an RSP opened a village bank (VB) in her village, she became a member and started micro-savings, taking an active part in meetings and discussions. As a result of her participation in community meetings, she soon realized that she could use her three kanals of agricultural land to grow vegetables and earn some extra money for her family. She borrowed PKR 10,000 from the VB and started growing seasonal vegetables - a common activity in most villages to augment primary incomes. She paid PKR 1,500 only as a lump sum processing fee upfront with no interest, as the loan product being offered by the VB was a shariah compliant Islamic product.

She uses home grown seeds, organic manure and a small amount of diammonium phosphate (DAP) fertilizer as inputs. Her total operating expenses amount to only PKR 1,150 since pest and disease risks are low in mountainous areas. She usually uses cash and spends only PKR 200 on pesticides. Her operating cost is very low as she operates out of her home. Her husband helps out with farming activities so she does not have to pay for any labour. They mostly sell these vegetables in the nearby villages but there are occasions when they have to take their products to a nearby city i.e. Haripur due to a lack of demand in the local market.

She sells products on a weekly basis to local vegetable shops and earns PKR 3,000 a month on average. This means a 97\% gross profit margin and a $91 \%$ net profit margin. Her ROCE is $14 \%$ and her interest coverage is approximately 24 times, which means that she is generating more than sufficient revenues to satisfy her financial cost burden. She rears hens and generates some additional income by selling their eggs. Thus, she manages her entire family's expenses from the accumulated cash by leveraging the deficit from her husband's irregular wage earnings.

She has been an active member of the VB and has maintained an excellent track record of loan repayment. She proudly stated that the VB had enabled her to make savings and increase her income through access to credit. She feels more empowered then before when she had nothing in hand except for a tiny amount that her husband gave her from his meagre earnings. Now, she has savings in the VB and her monthly income has increased.


## Livestock: Milking

Parveen lives in Phoolnagar, Kasur district with her husband and runs the family business of selling milk. She has been leading this business activity ever since her marriage. Parveen is the primary caretaker of the animals while her husband works on their agricultural land. Apart from providing supplemental farming income, the land also provides a source of food for their animals. The milking business is the family's main source of income generation. Currently, they own ten animals; five of which are milk-producing cows. For about eight months in a year, their daily milk production is about 25 liters, whereas for the remaining four months five kilograms of milk is produced daily. Most of the milk is sold in nearby areas and a small fraction is kept for household use. The average sale rate is PKR 40/kg.

The costs associated with animal care, especially milk-producing cows, are vaccination, fodder or chara, oil and gurh. Vaccination is needed four times a year and costs about PKR 10 per animal. Since fodder is obtained from personal agricultural land, the estimated cost for each animal is about PKR 80 per day. Lastly, oil and gurh is fed to milk-producing cows twice a year for better milk production. About two kilograms is provided to each animal and is obtained at about PKR $160 / \mathrm{kg}$. As the table shows, this particular milking business makes a gross profit margin of $44 \%$, which is supplemented by farming income. Their ROCE is $14.5 \%$ and their interest coverage is approximately 29 times.

| Item | Sales Price | Direct Cost | Gross Profit Margin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PKR |  |
| Milk sales (per annum) | 264,000 | 147,600 | 44\% |

Parveen has been utilizing micro-loans from an MFP operating in her village. She is currently in her third loan-cycle and her current loan is for PKR 25,000. Her earlier loans were PKR 10,000 and PKR 15,000 in the first and second loan-cycles, respectively. Interest charged to her is about $20 \%$ per annum using the declining balance method. She has been using these loans to contribute to the purchase of animals that will eventually be used for milking purposes. Her loans, however, are not sufficient on their own for the purchase of milking animals. She makes arrangements with relatives and purchases a female calf by paying half of the total cost on the condition that she will pay the balance when the animal is ready for milking. Relatives takes care of the calf until it is ready to produce milk. Once the animal is ready, she pays the remaining half and takes custody. This is where the loan amount is utilized. The current loan was used to purchase a milkproducing cow costing PKR 75,000. PKR 25,000 was funded through the loan and the remainder came from her personal savings. According to her, loans contribute to the growth of her business as a greater number of animals will increase the amount of milk she is able to sell. The payment of monthly instalments is not a burden on her monthly budget. She is comfortable taking out PKR 2,500 each month from the proceeds. She did, however, mention that during the dry season when the animals are not producing milk, paying the instalment becomes a concern. Luckily, that is only for a few months each year, so she saves enough from the rest of the year's sales to pay these instalments. Furthermore, with an increasing number of animals at her disposal, she will be able to offset the dry period of one animal with the milking period of the other in the future.

She stated that in the future, with a higher loan amount, she will purchase animals from the market that are ready to produce milk. She was also cognizant that higher loan sizes will mean higher instalments, which could be a problem, thus a longer tenure will be desired to make the monthly instalments affordable. Apart from the need to finance animals ready for milking, she also stated that due to consistent hikes in prices, calves will no longer be in her range unless loan sizes are increased. When asked about alternative sources of credit such as private moneylenders, she stated that she had never utilized such a loan facility as she was content with her current MFP.

### 6.4 QUESTIONNAIRE

### 6.4.1 Manufacturing Concern

1. Serial no.
2. Date
3. Result codes
4. MFB/MFI name
5. Type of enterprise
6. Interviewer name
7. Interviewee name
8. Enterprise name
9. Address
10. Area
11. Location
12. Business age
13. No. of employees
14. Legal status

Sole proprietorship Partnership
A. Jewellery making
B. Garments making
C. Shoe/khusa making
D. Embroidery and handicraft making
E. Garments making
F. Iron works

15. No. of partners
16. Percentage of partnership

1. What types of products do you manufacture?
a.
b. $\qquad$
c.
d. $\qquad$
2. What is the cost of taking a loan from a microfinance organization?

| Loan amount taken |  | Instalment amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of instalments |  | Processing fee |  |
| Documentation charges |  | Any other expenses paid |  |
| Insurance fee paid |  |  |  |



## A. Information Regarding Business Sales

3. What is monthly sale for each product (units sold, unit price)?

Product-wise sales information

| Name of product | Units sold | Frequency of sale | Unit sale price | Total sales/month |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

What is the seasonality of business sales (this will also cross-verify the above information)?

| Seasonality for the year |  | Months | Amounts |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Good month (G) |  |  |  |
| Regular month (R) |  |  |  |
| Bad month (B) |  |  |  |

4. What is your percentage of cash and credit (average) sales per month?

| Monthly sales on cash | Percentage | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monthly sales on credit | Percentage | Amount |

5. What are the terms of credit sales (e.g. ten days, 20 days, 30 days, or on some random basis in which case ask about estimated period of receipt)?

## B. Information Regarding Business Purchases

6. What are monthly purchases for each raw material type (unit purchased, unit price)?

Purchases per material type

| Name of product | Units sold | Frequency of sale | Unit sale price | Total sales/month |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

7. What is the product-wise per-unit cost of sale?

| Product type: | Unit: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inputs | Quantity | Total cost |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total cost of production |  |  |
| Product type: | Unit: |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total cost of production |  |  |
| Product type: | Unit: |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total cost of production |  |  |

8. What is the percentage of cash and credit purchases per month?

| Monthly sales on cash | Percentage | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monthly sales on credit | Percentage | Amount |

9. What are the terms of credit purchases (e.g. 10 days, 20 days, 30 days, or on sales basis in which case ask about estimated sales period)?
10. What is your ending inventory of raw material (RM), goods in process (GP), and finished goods (FG) on hand?

| Product (1) | Type (RM, GP, FG) (2) | Units (kg, etc.) (3) | Price (4) | Inventory amount (5) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

## C. Business Monthly Cash Flow and Income Statement

| Cash flow | Income statement |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cash in hand (beginning of the month) | Sales |
| CASH INFLOW | Cost of goods sold (COGS) |
| Cash sales | 1. Raw material cost |
| Recovered from credit sales | 2. Wages |
| Other business related cash inflows | 3. Production related utilities |
| Other cash inflows - business related | 4. Others (item 1) |
| Total cash inflow | 5. Others (item 2) |
| CASH OUTFLOW | 6. Others (item 3) |
| Cash purchases | Total COGS |
| Paid for credit purchases | Gross margin (sales - COGS) |
| Wages | Operating costs |
| Salaries | Salaries |
| Rent | Rent |
| Utilities | Utilities (telephone, electricity, water, and gas) |
| Transportation | Transportation |
| Repairs and maintenance | Repairs and maintenance |
| Food for employees | Food for employees |
| Other business expenses | Other business expenses (specify) |
| Owners drawings/salary |  |
| Interest and financial charges |  |
| Data quality provision 10\% | Owner's salary |
| Loan principal payment | Interest and financial charges |
| Capital purchases | Data quality provision 10\% |
| Total cash outflow | Total operating costs |
| Net cash | Net margin (GM - total operating costs) |

## D. Household Monthly Cash Flows

| CASH INFLOWS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cash in hand at home |  |
| Cash contributions from remittances |  |
| Cash contributions from pensions |  |
| Cash contributions from family members |  |
| Cash contribution from other sources - committee, etc. |  |
| TOTAL CASH RECEIPTS |  |
| Total cash available |  |
| CASH PAID OUT |  |
| Rent |  |
| Food expenses |  |
| Children's education expenses |  |
| Medical expenses |  |
| Death/marriage expenses |  |
| Utilities |  |
| Clothing |  |
| Social expenses |  |
| Others |  |
| Contingencies |  |
| TOTAL CASH PAID OUT |  |
| Net cash flow |  |

## E. Business Balance Sheet

| Business assets | PKR | Business liabilities and equity | PKR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets |  | Current liabilities |  |
| Cash in hand |  | Accounts payables |  |
| Cash in bank |  | Advance payments received |  |
| Total account receivables |  | Interest payable |  |
| Inventory - ending |  | Short-term bank loans payable |  |
| Prepaid expenses |  | Short-term committee - liability |  |
| Committee - savings |  | Long-term liabilities |  |
| Other current assets |  | Long-term bank loans |  |
| Total current assets |  | Other long-term loans |  |
| Fixed assets |  | Other long-term loans |  |
| Machinery and equipment |  |  |  |
| Furniture and fixtures |  | Total liabilities |  |
| Leasehold assets |  |  |  |
| Vehicles |  |  |  |
| Land |  | Net worth |  |
| Other fixed assets |  |  |  |
| Total fixed assets |  |  |  |
| Other asset |  |  |  |
| Other asset |  |  |  |
| Total assets |  | Total liabilities and equity |  |

### 6.4.2 Services Concern

1. Serial no.
2. Date
3. Result codes
4. $M F B / M F I$ name
5. Type of enterprise
$\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$
6. Complete
7. Incomplete


## Tailor shop <br> Beauty parlour shop

Hair dresser/barber shop
Restaurant/eatery Embroidery/hosiery/zari work

6. Interviewer name
7. Interviewee name
8. Enterprise name
9. Address
10. Area
11. Location
12. Business age
13. No. of employees
14. Legal status

Sole proprietorshipPartnership $\square$
15. No. of partners
16. Percentage of partnership

1. What types of services do you provide? (e.g. for hair dressers/barbers, these could be haircuts, shaves, facials)
a.
b. $\qquad$
c.
d. $\qquad$

## A. Information Regarding Business Sales

2. What is the monthly sale for each of the services (units sold, unit price)?

Product/service-wise sales information

| Name of Service | Units sold | Frequency of sale | Unit sale price | Total sales/month |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |


3. What is the seasonality of the business sales (this will also cross verify the above information)?

4. What percentage of cash and credit (average) sales per month?

| Monthly sales on cash | Percentage | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monthly sales on credit | Percentage | Amount |

5. What are the terms of credit sales? (e.g. 10 days, 20 days, 30 days, etc. or on some random basis in which case ask about estimated period of receipt)

## B. Information Regarding Business Purchases

6. What are monthly purchases of inputs required for each type of service (unit purchased, unit price)? Specify purchases per material type.

| Inputs | Units purchased | Frequency | Unit price | Total purchases/month |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

7. What is the service type-wise per-unit cost of sale?

| Service type: | Unit: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inputs | Quantity | Total cost |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total cost of providing service |  |  |
| Service type: | Unit: |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total cost of providing service ${ }_{\text {P }}$ |  |  |
| Service type: | Unit: |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total cost of providing service |  |  |

8. What is the percentage of cash and credit purchases per month?

| Monthly sales on cash | Percentage | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monthly sales on credit | Percentage | Amount |

9. What are the terms of credit purchases (e.g. 10 days, 20 days, 30 days, etc. or on sales basis in which case ask about estimated sales period)?

10. What is the ending inventory of materials used in the service provision?

|  | Product (1) | Units (kg, etc.) (2) | Price (3) | Inventory amount (2 X 3) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |
| 4. |  |  |  |  |
| 5. |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

C. Business Monthly Cash Flow and Income Statement

| Cash flow | Income statement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash in hand (beginning of the month) | Sales |  |
| CASH INFLOW | Cost of services |  |
| Cash sales | Input cost |  |
| Recovered from credit sales | Wages |  |
| Other business related cash inflows | Service related utilities |  |
| Other cash inflows - business related | Others (item 1) |  |
| Total cash inflow | Others (item 2) |  |
| CASH OUTFLOW | Others (item 3) |  |
| Cash purchases | Total COGS |  |
| Paid for credit purchases | Gross margin (sales - COGS) |  |
| Wages | Operating costs |  |
| Salaries | Salaries |  |
| Rent | Rent |  |
| Utilities | Utilities (telephone, electricity, water, and gas) |  |
| Transportation | Transportation |  |
| Repairs and maintenance | Repairs and maintenance |  |
| Food for employees | Food for employees |  |
| Other business expenses | Other business expenses (specify) |  |
| Owner's drawings/salary |  |  |
| Interest and financial charges |  |  |
| Data quality provision 10\% | Owner's salary |  |
| Loan principal payment | Interest and financial charges |  |
| Capital purchases | Data quality provision 10\% |  |
| Total cash outflow | Total operating costs |  |
| Net cash | Net margin (GM - total operating costs) |  |

D. Household Monthly Cash Flows

| CASH INFLOWS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cash in hand at home |  |
| Cash contributions from remittances |  |
| Cash contributions from pensions |  |
| Cash contributions from family members |  |
| Cash contribution from other sources - committee, etc. |  |
| TOTAL CASH RECEIPTS |  |
| Total cash available |  |
| CASH PAID OUT |  |
| Rent |  |
| Food expenses |  |
| Children's education expenses |  |
| Medical expenses |  |
| Death/marriage expenses |  |
| Utilities |  |
| Clothing |  |
| Social expenses |  |
| Others |  |
| Contingencies |  |
| TOTAL CASH PAID OUT |  |
| Net cash flow |  |

## E. Business Balance Sheet

| Business Assets | PKR | Business Liabilities and Equity | PKR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets |  | Current liabilities |  |
| Cash in hand |  | Accounts payables |  |
| Cash in bank |  | Advance payments received |  |
| Total accounts receivables |  | Interest payable |  |
| Inventory - ending |  | Short-term bank loans payable |  |
| Prepaid expenses |  | Short-term committee - liability |  |
| Committee - savings |  | Long-term liabilities |  |
| Other current assets |  | Long-term bank loans |  |
| Total current assets |  | Other long-term loans |  |
| Fixed assets |  | Other long-term loans |  |
| Machinery and equipments |  |  |  |
| Furniture and fixtures |  | Total liabilities |  |
| Leasehold assets |  | Net worth |  |
| Vehicles |  |  |  |
| Land |  |  |  |
| Other fixed assets |  |  |  |
| Total fixed assets |  |  |  |
| Other asset |  |  |  |
| Other asset |  |  |  |
| Total assets |  | Total liabilities and equity |  |

### 6.4.3 Trading Concern

| 1. | Serial no. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Date | 1 $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ |
| 3. | Result codes | 1. Complete <br> 2. Incomplete |
| 4. | MFB/MFI name |  |
| 5. | Type of enterprise | Grocery store <br> Fruit and vegetable shops <br> Garments shops <br> Agricultural input supplies/fertilizer/pesticides shops <br> Clothes shops |
| 6. | Interviewer name |  |
| 7. | Interviewee name |  |
| 8. | Enterprise name |  |
| 9. | Address |  |
| 10. | Area |  |
| 11. | Location |  |
| 12. | Business age |  |
| 13. | No. of employees |  |
| 14. | Legal status | Sole proprietorship $\square$ Partnership $\square$ |
| 15. | No. of partners |  |
| 16. | Percentage of partnership |  |

1. What types of products do you trade?
a. $\qquad$ c.
d. $\qquad$
2. What is the cost of taking a loan from microfinance organization?

| Loan amount taken |  | Instalment amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of instalments |  | Processing fee |  |
| Documentation charges |  | Any other expense paid |  |
| Insurance fee paid |  |  |  |


6.1: Additional Ratio Calculations | 6.2: Descriptions of Micro-business Types

## A. Information Regarding Business Sales

3. What are monthly sales for each of the products (units sold, unit price)?

Product wise sales information

| Name of product | Product | Units sold | Frequency of sale | Unit sales price | Unit purchase price | Total sales/month |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

4. What is the seasonality of the business sales (this will also cross verify the above information)?

5. What percentage of cash and credit (average) sales per month?

| Monthly sales on cash | Percentage | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monthly sales on credit | Percentage | Amount |

6. What are the terms of credit sales (e.g. 10 days, 20 days, 30 days, etc. or on some random basis in which case ask about estimated period of receipt)?

## B. Information Regarding Business Purchases

7. What are monthly purchases for each product type (unit purchased, unit price)?

Purchases per product type

| Products | Units purchased | Frequency | Unit price | Total purchases/month |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

8. What is the percentage of Cash and Credit Purchases per month?

| Monthly sales on cash | Percentage | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monthly sales on credit | Percentage | Amount |

9. What are the terms of credit purchases (e.g. 10 days, 20 days, 30 days, etc. or on sales basis in which case ask about estimated sales period)?
10. What is the ending inventory of all the products?

|  | Product (1) | Units (kg, etc.) (2) | Purchase price (3) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. |  |  | Inventory amount (2 X 3) |
| 2. |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |
| 4. |  |  |  |
| 5. |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |

C. Business Monthly Cash Flow and Income Statement

| CASH FLOW | INCOME STATEMENT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash in hand (beginning of the month) | Sales |  |
| CASH INFLOW | Cost of services |  |
| Cash sales | Input cost |  |
| Recovered from credit sales | Direct transportation |  |
| Other business related cash inflows | Others (item 1) |  |
| Other cash inflows - business related | Others (item 2) |  |
| Total cash inflow | Others (item 3) |  |
| CASH OUTFLOW |  |  |
| Cash purchases | Total COGS |  |
| Paid for credit purchases | Gross margin (sales - COGS) |  |
| Wages | Operating costs |  |
| Salaries | Salaries |  |
| Rent | Rent |  |
| Utilities | Utilities (telephone, electricity, water, and gas) |  |
| Transportation | Transportation |  |
| Food for employees | Food for employees |  |
| Other business expenses | Other business expenses (specify) |  |
| Owner's drawings/salary |  |  |
| Interest and financial charges |  |  |
| Data quality provision 10\% | Owner's salary/drawings |  |
| Loan principal payment | Interest and financial charges |  |
| Capital purchases | Data quality provision 10\% |  |
| Total cash outflow | Total operating costs |  |
| Net cash | Net margin (GM - total operating costs) |  |

D. Household Monthly Cash Flows

| CASH INFLOWS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cash in hand at home |  |
| Cash contributions from remittances |  |
| Cash contributions from pensions |  |
| Cash contributions from family members |  |
| Cash contribution from other sources - committee, etc. |  |
| TOTAL CASH RECEIPTS |  |
| Total Cash Available |  |
| CASH PAID OUT |  |
| Rent |  |
| Food expenses |  |
| Children's education expenses |  |
| Medical expenses |  |
| Death/marriage expenses |  |
| Utilities |  |
| Clothing |  |
| Social Expenses |  |
| Others |  |
| Contingencies |  |
| TOTAL CASH PAID OUT |  |
| Net cash flow |  |

## E. Business Balance Sheet

| Business Assets | PKR | Business Liabilities and Equity | PKR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets |  | Current liabilities |  |
| Cash in hand |  | Accounts payables |  |
| Cash in bank |  | Advance Payments received |  |
| Total accounts receivables |  | Interest payable |  |
| Inventory - ending |  | Short-term bank loans payable |  |
| Prepaid expenses |  | Short-term committee - liability |  |
| Committee - savings |  | Long-term liabilities |  |
| Other current assets |  | Long-term bank loans |  |
| Total current assets |  | Other long-term loans |  |
|  |  | Other long-term loans |  |
| Fixed assets |  |  |  |
| Furniture and fixtures |  | Total liabilities |  |
| Leasehold assets |  | Net worth |  |
| Vehicles |  |  |  |
| Land |  |  |  |
| Other fixed assets |  |  |  |
| Total fixed assets |  |  |  |
| Other asset |  |  |  |
| Other asset |  |  |  |
| Total assets |  | Total liabilities and equity |  |

### 6.4.4 Agriculture Concern



1. What are the sources of funds for the activity?
a. Loan from MFI/MFB
d. Own investment $\qquad$
b. Suppliers' credit
c. Other $\qquad$ e. Friends/relatives $\qquad$
2. What major expenses did you incur/pay to get this loan?

| Loan amount taken (PKR) |  | Instalment amount (PKR) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of instalments |  | Loan period in months |  |
| Documentation charges (PKR) |  | Processing fee (PKR) |  |
| Insurance fee paid (PKR) |  | Any other expenses (PKR) |  |

3. Details of crop production for one season of activity.

|  | Month of <br> Cultivation | Total <br> Cultivated <br> Area (kanals) | Total <br> Production <br> During Season | Produce Set <br> Aside for <br> Household <br> Consumption (40 kg) | Produce Sold in <br> the Season (40 kg) | Amount of Sales <br> (PKR) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |

4. What is the percentage of cash and credit sales per season?

|  | Quantity (kg) |  | Amount (PKR) |  |  | Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Credit | Cash | Credit | Total | Cash | Credit |
| Highest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5. What is the purchases/cost of input supplies for the activity?

| Description | Seeds (PKR) |  | Pesticides (PKR) |  | Fertilizer (PKR) |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Credit | Cash | Credit | Cash | Credit |  |
| Highest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

6. What are operation expenses for the activity?

| Description | Amount (PKR) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highest | Lowest | Average |
| Tractor |  |  |  |
| Water and tube well expenses |  |  |  |
| Labour |  |  |  |
| Picking and harvesting |  |  |  |
| Trashing |  |  |  |
| Land tenancy/lease payments |  |  |  |
| Travel and transportation |  |  |  |
| Others - service charges, processing fee, insurance, etc. |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |

A. Income Statement for the Activity

B. Balance Sheet

| Assets | PKR | Liabilities and Equity | PKR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets |  | Current liabilities |  |
| Cash in hand |  | Suppliers' credit |  |
| Cash in bank |  | Tenancy/lease payable |  |
| Accounts receivables |  | MFI/MFB remaining loan |  |
| Inventory |  | Committee payable |  |
| Prepaid expenses |  |  |  |
| Committee - savings |  |  |  |
| Other current assets |  |  |  |
| Total current assets |  | Total current liabilities |  |
| Fixed assets |  |  |  |
| Agriculture land |  | Long-term liabilities |  |
| House and building |  | Total liabilities |  |
| Furniture and fixtures |  |  |  |
| Vehicles |  | Capital |  |
| Animals |  | Current activity profit |  |
| Other immovable assets |  | Net worth |  |
| Total fixed assets |  | Total equity |  |
| Total assets |  | Total liabilities and equity |  |

C. Cash Flow Analysis of the Activity

| Description | Quarters |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| Cash inflows <br> Cash in hand <br> Loan from MFI/MFB <br> Sales of agriculture produce <br> Recovery of credit sales of activity <br> Sale of agriculture - by-products |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cash inflow for the activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash outflows |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid for input supplies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid for operations expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid for loan instalments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other cash paid for the activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid for PF and insurance, etc. <br> Cash paid for loan instalments <br> Cash contribution to household expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cash outflow for the activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash closing balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |

D. Household Monthly Cash Flows

| CASH INFLOWS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cash in hand at home |  |  |
| Cash contribution from agricultural activity |  |  |
| Cash contributions from remittances |  |  |
| Cash contributions from pensions |  |  |
| Cash contributions from family members |  |  |
| Cash contribution from other sources - committee, etc. |  |  |
| Total family cash inflow |  |  |
| CASH OUTFLOWS |  |  |
| House rent (if rented) |  |  |
| Utilities and telephone/cell phone |  |  |
| Food expenses |  |  |
| Children's education expenses |  |  |
| Medical expenses |  |  |
| Clothing, etc. |  |  |
| Social expenses |  |  |
| Others |  |  |
| Total family cash outflow |  |  |
| NET CASH FLOW |  |  |

### 6.4.5 Livestock Concern

1. Serial no.
2. Date
3. Result codes
4. MFB/MFI name
5. Branch
6. Nature of livestock activity
$\square$
1 $\qquad$ 1
7. Interviewer name
8. Interviewee name
9. Name of head of household
10. Family size
11. Address
12. District
13. No. of employees (if any)
14. No. of partners (if applicable)
15. Percentage of partnership (if applicable)
16. What types and number of livestock do you keep?
a.
b. $\qquad$
c.
d.
A. Rearing and fattening
B. Trading
C. Poultry
17. Complete
18. Incomplete

C. Poultry
6.3. Case Studies 16.4: Questionnaire
19. Details of purchase and sale of animals

|  | Purchase |  |  | Sales |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Cost | Total | No. | Cost | Total |
| January |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| November |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5. What are your average operations costs?

| Description | Amount (PKR) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highest | Lowest | Average |
| Vaccination |  |  |  |
| Fodder |  |  |  |
| Bhussa, etc. |  |  |  |
| Green charra |  |  |  |
| Other expenses |  |  |  |
| Transportation expenses |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |

A. Income Statement for the Activity

| Particular |  | PKR |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sales of animals <br> Cash sales <br> Credit sales |  |  |  |
| Cost of purchases of animals |  |  |  |
|  | Gross profit |  |  |
| Operations expenses |  |  |  |
| Others |  |  |  |
|  | Net profit |  |  |

## B. Balance Sheet

| Assets | PKR | Liabilities and Equity | PKR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets |  | Current liabilities |  |
| Cash in hand |  | Suppliers' credit |  |
| Cash in bank |  | Tenancy/lease payable |  |
| Accounts receivables |  | MFI/MFB remaining loan |  |
| Inventory |  | Committee payable |  |
| Prepaid expenses |  |  |  |
| Committee savings |  |  |  |
| Other current assets |  |  |  |
| Total current assets |  | Total current liabilities |  |
| Fixed assets |  |  |  |
| Agriculture land |  | Long-term liabilities |  |
| House and building |  | Total liabilities |  |
| Furniture and fixtures |  |  |  |
| Vehicles |  | Capital |  |
| Animals |  | Current activity profit |  |
| Other immovable assets |  | Net worth |  |
| Total fixed assets |  | Total equity |  |
| Total assets |  | Total Liabilities and Equity |  |

## C. Cash Flow Analysis of the Activity

| Description | Quarters |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Total |
| Cash Inflows <br> Cash in hand <br> Loan from MFI/MFB <br> Sales of animals <br> Recovery of credit sales of activity <br> Sale of animals: by-products |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cash inflow for the activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Outflows |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid for operations expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid for loan instalments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other cash paid for the activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid for PF and insurance, etc. <br> Cash paid for loan instalments <br> Cash contribution to household expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cash outflow for the activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash closing balance |  |  |  |  |  |

## D. Household Monthly Cash Flow

| CASH INFLOWS | PKR |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cash in hand at home |  |
| Cash contribution from animal trading activity |  |
| Cash contributions from remittances |  |
| Cash contributions from pensions |  |
| Cash contributions from family members |  |
| Cash contribution from other sources - committee, etc. |  |
| Total family cash inflow |  |
| CASH OUTFLOWS |  |
| House rent (if rented) |  |
| Utilities and telephone/cell phone |  |
| Food expenses |  |
| Children's education expenses |  |
| Medical expenses |  |
| Clothing, etc. |  |
| Social expenses |  |
| Others |  |
| Total family cash outflow |  |
| NET CASH FLOW |  |

### 6.4.6 Livestock Concern (milking)

1. Serial no.
2. Date
3. Result codes
4. MFB/MFI name
5. Branch
6. Nature of livestock activity

Milking
7. Interviewer name
8. Interviewee name
9. Name of head of household
10. Family size
11. Address
12. District
13. No. of employees (if any)
14. No. of partners (if applicable)
15. Percentage of partnership (if applicable)

1. What types and number of livestock do you keep for the activity?
a.
c.
b. $\qquad$ d. $\qquad$
2. What are the sources of funds of funds for the activity?
a. Loan from MFI/MFB
d. Own investment $\qquad$
b. Suppliers' credit
e. Friends/relatives $\qquad$
c. Other $\qquad$
3. What major expenses you incurred/paid to get this loan?

| Loan amount taken (PKR) |  | Instalment amount (PKR) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of instalments |  | Loan period in months |  |
| Documentation charges (PKR) |  | Processing fee (PKR) |  |
| Insurance fee paid (PKR) |  | Any other expenses (PKR) |  |

6.3: Case Studies I 6.4: Questionnaire
4. What is detail of animals and cost of purchase delivered?

| Type of Animal | Number of Animals | Year/month of Purchase | Purchase Price (PKR) | Own Investment (PKR) | MFI/MFB loan (PKR) | Purchased/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5. What is average monthly sale of milk?

| Description of Sales | Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity (kg) |  | Amount (PKR) |  |  | Percentage |  |
|  | Cash | Credit | Cash | Credit | Total | Cash | Credit |
| Highest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

6. What is average operations cost of animals?

| Description | Amount (PKR) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highest | Lowest | Average |
| Vaccination |  |  |  |
| Fodder |  |  |  |
| Bhussa, etc. |  |  |  |
| Green charra |  |  |  |
| Other expenses |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |

## A. Income Statement for the Activity

| Particular |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sales of Milk |  |  |
| Cash sales |  |  |
| Credit sales |  |  |
| Operations Expenses <br> Vaccination |  |  |
| Fodder |  |  |
| Bhussa, etc. |  |  |
| Green charra |  |  |
| Others expenses - SC, PF, etc. | Net profit |  |
|  |  |  |

## B. Balance Sheet

| Assets | PKR | Liabilities and Equity | PKR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets |  | Current liabilities |  |
| Cash in hand |  | Suppliers' credit |  |
| Cash in bank |  | Tenancy/lease payable |  |
| Accounts receivables |  | MFI/MFB remaining loan |  |
| Inventory |  | Committee payable |  |
| Prepaid expenses |  |  |  |
| Committee - savings |  |  |  |
| Other current assets |  |  |  |
| Total current assets |  | Total current liabilities |  |
| Fixed assets |  |  |  |
| Agriculture land |  | Long-term liabilities |  |
| House and building |  | Total liabilities |  |
| Furniture and fixtures |  |  |  |
| Vehicles |  | Capital |  |
| Animals |  | Current activity profit |  |
| Other immovable assets |  | Net worth |  |
| Total fixed assets |  | Total equity |  |
| Total assets |  | Total liabilities and equity |  |

## C. Cash Flow Analysis of the Activity

| Description |  | Quarters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## D. Household Monthly Cash Flow

| CASH INFLOWS | PKR |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cash in hand at home |  |
| Cash contribution from milking activity* |  |
| Cash contributions from remittances |  |
| Cash contributions from pensions |  |
| Cash contributions from family members |  |
| Cash contribution from other sources - committee, etc. |  |
| Total family cash inflow |  |
| CASH OUTFLOWS |  |
| House rent (if rented) |  |
| Utilities and telephone/cell phone |  |
| Food expenses |  |
| Children's education expenses |  |
| Medical expenses |  |
| Clothing, etc. |  |
| Social expenses |  |
| Others |  |
| Total family cash outflow |  |
| NET CASH FLOW |  |
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[^0]:    The views expressed in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Pakistan
    Microfinance Network (PMN) or the donors who have funded this study.

[^1]:    1 Analysts sometimes use internal rate of return (IRR) for analysing the feasibility of investments. However, we chose not to use this as an indicator as IRR is a decision tool and is used to determine rate of return for projects, investments, and loans with a definite life or specific period of time. Since these businesses are perpetual, IRR does not apply. In addition, we are examining the profitability of these businesses to ascertain loan affordability. Therefore, use of profitability ratios/tools instead of IRR is more appropriate.

[^2]:    2 Effective APR-industry represents the effective APR (IRR) of the microfinance providers that participated in the research.
    3 Since we are analyzing the ability of micro-businesses to pay financial costs (cost of borrowing), ROA is calculated by adding back financial cost to net profit to ascertain real returns before the cost of borrowing.

[^3]:    4 Effective APR is calculated by multiplying a sector or category's average loan size by the industry's effective APR i.e. 35.9\%.

[^4]:    5, 6, 7, 8 CIA World fact book
    9, 10 The World Bank website "South Asia"
    11 Micro-businesses are defined as those employing up to ten workers while small businesses are defined as employing between 11 and 50 workers.
    12 Banked: Adults who currently use one or more traditional banking products supplied by a financial institution. This is not an exclusive usage category; adults in this group may also be currently using one or more "formal-other" or "informal" products.
    13 Informal: Any adult who does not have a bank account or a formal-other service, but uses one or more "informal" products that operate without legal governance. Examples include borrowing from moneylender and shopkeepers or participating in savings committees. This is exclusive usage - the adults in this segment do not currently use any formal products i.e. "banked and formal other".
    14 Formal Other: Adults who are currently using one or more formal products supplied by a financial institution (other than a bank) operating under legal governance. Such products include, for example, insurance, leasing, microfinance, and postal financial services. These people do not have bank accounts, but have at least one financial service from a regulated non-bank financial service provider. Thus, this is not an exclusive usage category as people in this segment may also be using one or more "informal" products.
    15 Financially Excluded: Adults who are excluded from all financial services - banked, formal other, and informal.
    16 For example, when Grameen Bank in Bangladesh charges a ten percent nominal interest rate on a flat basis, it actually turns out to be equivalent to a $24.28 \%$ effective interest rate because of features like weekly repayment schedules and fees and compulsory savings. http://blogs.cgdev.org/open_book/2010/09/quick-whats-the-grameen-banks-interest-rate.php
    17 Rosenburg, Richard, Adrian Gonzalez, and Suhma Narain (2009) Are the Poor Being Exploited by High Microcredit Interest Rates? CGAP Occasional Paper.

[^5]:    18 De Mel, Suresh, David McKenzie, and Christopher Woodruff (2008) Returns to Capital: Results from a Randomized Experiment Quarterly Journal of Economics, 123(4): 1329-72. Udry, Christopher and Santosh Anagol (2006) The Return to Capital in Ghana Yale University Economic Growth Center, Paper no. 932.

[^6]:    20 Microfinance banks (MFBs) are banks licensed and prudentially regulated by the State Bank of Pakistan to exclusively service the microfinance market; Microfinance institutions (MFIs) are non-government organizations providing only microfinance services, and Rural Support Programmes (RSPs) are non-government organizations running microfinance operations as part of a multidimensional development programme with specific focus on rural areas.

[^7]:    21 A higher sample weight was assigned to KP to balance the provincial distribution within the data.

[^8]:    22, 23, 24, 25 Pakistan Microfinance Network, 2010.

[^9]:    24 Pakistan Microfinance Network, 2010

[^10]:    Bus. $=$ Business

